

Plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria colonize Δ^9 -tetrahydrocannabinolic acid drug-type *Cannabis sativa* L. roots and modulate cannabinoid metabolism

Francesco Tonolo^{1*}, Bobbie Sewalt¹, Klaas Vrieling¹ and Young Hae Choi^{2*}

¹Aboveground-belowground Interaction Group, Plant Cluster, Institute of Biology, Leiden University, Leiden, Netherlands

²Natural Products Laboratory, Institute of Biology, Leiden University, Leiden, Netherlands

Table S1 Number of *C. sativa* plants grown for the experiment, one plant from cultivar Gorilla treatment Flavobacterium was removed because of irrigation failure and resulting drought stress.

Cultivar	Control	Pseudomonas	Flavobacterium	Bacillus	Burkholderia
Amnesia	8	8	8	8	8
Gorilla	8	8	7	8	8

Table S2 Phenotypical variables of the *C. sativa* cultivars Amnesia (A) and Gorilla (G) inoculated with four different PGPR bacterial taxa and a control mock solution. Values are means \pm standard deviations. Dry plant weight was logarithmically transformed as indicated by the Box-Cox function.

Treatment	Dry plant weight (g)		Dry flower weight (g)		Plant height (cm)		Number of nodes		Flowering initiation (visual score 1 to 3)		Harvest index (%)	
	A	G	A	G	A	G	A	G	A	G	A	G
Control	6.5 \pm 3.5	10.1 \pm 4.5	2.8 \pm 1.4	3.3 \pm 1.4	28.4 \pm 6.9	29.4 \pm 4.4	6.8 \pm 0.9	7.1 \pm 0.4	2.0 \pm 0.8	1.8 \pm 0.7	42.8 \pm 5.4	32.6 \pm 3.2
Bacillus	6.8 \pm 2.2	9.6 \pm 4.6	2.8 \pm 0.9	3.1 \pm 1.5	25.6 \pm 4.7	30.3 \pm 4.8	6.8 \pm 0.5	6.8 \pm 0.9	1.5 \pm 0.5	2.0 \pm 0.8	41.6 \pm 3.7	32.8 \pm 6.1
Burkholderia	6.1 \pm 1.7	9.8 \pm 4.9	2.4 \pm 0.9	3.1 \pm 0.9	25.2 \pm 5.6	29.8 \pm 6.5	7.0 \pm 0.5	7.4 \pm 0.5	1.9 \pm 0.8	1.9 \pm 0.8	39.0 \pm 6.5	35.3 \pm 9.3
Flavobacterium	5.9 \pm 2.2	9.0 \pm 3.2	2.5 \pm 1.1	3.1 \pm 1.3	23.3 \pm 4.0	29.6 \pm 2.2	7.4 \pm 0.5	7.0 \pm 0.6	1.5 \pm 0.8	2.3 \pm 0.5	40.8 \pm 6.6	33.7 \pm 5.5
Pseudomonas	8.4 \pm 3.8	9.4 \pm 5.7	3.3 \pm 1.2	3.1 \pm 1.4	22.3 \pm 5.4	31.8 \pm 6.6	6.8 \pm 0.7	6.9 \pm 0.8	1.6 \pm 0.5	2.0 \pm 0.9	39.6 \pm 3.3	34.3 \pm 6.1

Fig. S1 Principal component analysis of 4 phenotypical and 8 chemical variables. Each point indicates an individual genotype. Observations were colored by (A) cultivar, (B) inoculation (pool of PGPRs) and (C) bacterial taxa, *Bacillus* (Bacillus), *Burkholderia* (Burk), *Flavobacterium* (Flavo) and *Pseudomonas* (Pseudo). Arrows indicate the PCA loading, showing how much each original variable contributes to the PCA.

