

Validity of resting heart rate derived from contact-based smartphone photoplethysmography (PPG) compared with electrocardiography (ECG): Protocol for a Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis

James D Mather¹, MSc; Nicholas F Sculthorpe¹, Prof; Nilihan E.M. Sanal-Hayes^{5*}, PhD; Ethan Berry¹, MSc; Jacqueline L. Mair^{2,3}, PhD; Lawrence D. Hayes⁴, PhD

¹*Sport and Physical Activity Research Institute, School of Health and Life Sciences, University of the West of Scotland, Glasgow, UK*

²*Future Health Technologies, Singapore-ETH Centre, Campus for Research Excellence and Technological Enterprise (CREATE), Singapore*

³*Saw Swee Hock School of Public Health, National University of Singapore, Singapore*

⁴*Lancaster Medical School, Lancaster University, Lancaster, UK*

⁵*School of Health and Society, University of Salford, Salford, UK*

* Correspondence:

Nilihan E.M Sanal-Hayes

n.e.m.sanal-hayes@salford.ac.uk

Co-author emails:

James Mather: jameiemather1993@gmail.com

Nicholas Sculthorpe: Nicholas.Sculthorpe@uws.ac.uk

Ethan Berry: Ethan.Berry@uws.ac.uk

Jacqueline Mair: jacqueline.mair@sec.ethz.ch

Lawrence Hayes: l.hayes4@lancaster.ac.uk

NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.

23 Abstract

24 **Objective:** To synthesise quantitative evidence on the validity of photoplethysmography
25 (PPG) derived from mobile devices (i.e. smartphones) for the assessment of heart rate (HR)
26 compared to the gold standard electrocardiogram (ECG).

27 **Introduction:** Mobile health (mHealth), the use of mobile devices for promotion or
28 measurement of health is on the rise. Smartphone cameras can perform
29 photoplethysmography (PPG) for the assessment of heart rate (HR) and other cardiac cycle
30 characteristics. However, rigorous validity is necessary before smartphone measurement of
31 PPG can be utilized for healthcare provision. There is a pervasive belief that HR-PPG is
32 analogous to HR-ECG, and herein we will provide an updated systematic review and meta-
33 analysis to support or challenge this supposition.

34 **Inclusion criteria:** This review will include studies that investigate the correlation
35 coefficient between of resting heart rate (RHR) acquisition from PPG utilizing contact-based
36 smartphone devices versus ECG as the gold standard, Studies will be excluded if they (a) do
37 not use PPG utilizing contact-based smartphone devices (b) compare PPG to another
38 collection method other than ECG, or (c) are review articles or case studies.

39 **Methods:** A comprehensive literature search will be conducted in CINAHL Ultimate,
40 MEDLINE, ScienceDirect, and Scopus using a search strategy developed in collaboration
41 with the research team. All retrieved citations will be imported into Rayyan for screening and
42 data management. A minimum of two independent reviewers will conduct the title and
43 abstract screening, followed by two independent reviewers who will perform full-text
44 screening and data extraction. All stages will be guided by predefined inclusion and exclusion
45 criteria, which will be pilot tested to ensure consistency and reliability. Any discrepancies
46 will be resolved through discussion with a third reviewer or during a research team meeting.

Intra-rater reliability will be quantified at the title and abstract stage, and the full-text review stage using Cohen's Kappa. To ensure clarity and consistency in the presentation of study characteristics and findings, both narrative synthesis and tabular formats will be employed.

Review registration: <https://doi.org/10.17605/OSF.IO/83V7A>

Keywords: Photoplethysmography; PPG; mobile; heart rate; validity.

67 Introduction

68 Photoplethysmography (PPG) has been widely employed over several decades for the
 69 diagnosis, monitoring, and screening of various diseases and disorders, offering clinically
 70 relevant physiological insights [1–4]. The term "photoplethysmography" derives from its
 71 functional components: “photo” (light), “plethysmo” (volume), and “graphy” (recording) [5].
 72 Initially introduced by Hertzman in 1937 to detect blood volume changes [4,6], PPG operates
 73 by measuring either transmitted (transmissive PPG) or reflected (reflective PPG) light as it
 74 interacts with biological tissues [7]. This technique relies on the optical properties of tissue,
 75 including absorption, scattering, and transmission [8]. Transmissive PPG detects light that has
 76 passed through relatively thin tissue regions, such as the fingers, toes, or earlobes. In contrast,
 77 reflective PPG captures light that is scattered back from the skin, which results in a reduction
 78 in detected light intensity [9]. While transmissive PPG generally provides more stable signal
 79 quality[10], reflective PPG offers greater versatility in terms of measurement site, enabling its
 80 application in anatomical regions such as the forehead, wrist, carotid artery, and esophagus—
 81 locations where transmissive PPG is less feasible [11–14].

82 Photoplethysmography (PPG) operates based on the Beer–Lambert Law, which describes the
 83 attenuation of light intensity as a function of the extinction coefficient, concentration of the
 84 absorbing medium, and the optical path length through which light travels [15]. Leveraging
 85 this principle, a range of PPG devices are utilized in clinical settings to measure physiological
 86 parameters such as pulse rate, a key vital sign [7]. Clinically, PPG is commonly employed to
 87 monitor cardiac-induced fluctuations in blood volume within microvascular beds at peripheral
 88 anatomical sites including the finger, forehead, earlobe, and toe [16,17].

89 Since the introduction of the first iPhone in 2007, smartphones have become ubiquitous
 90 worldwide and are increasingly recognized as practical tools for data collection, addressing

several limitations inherent in traditional methods [18]. Conventional health monitoring typically involves periodic, scheduled clinical visits, which may fail to capture dynamic physiological changes that occur longitudinally or during routine daily activities [3,19,20]. In this context, smartphones equipped with integrated cameras offer a cost-effective alternative for PPG acquisition, eliminating the need for additional external devices such as wearables [21].

Consequently, smartphone-based PPG has the potential to extend access to underserved populations, particularly those facing demographic, geographic, or socioeconomic barriers to healthcare access and delivery [22–24]. The adoption of mobile health (mHealth) technologies has accelerated in recent years, particularly following the COVID-19 pandemic, which underscored the utility of remote and prospective health and symptom monitoring [21,25–27]. As such, the increasing prevalence of smartphone-enabled telemedicine is likely to persist and may play a significant role in advancing global health equity, aligning with targets outlined in the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (UN SDGs), specifically SDG 3: Good Health and Well-being [28,29].

Smartphone PPG can estimate RHR through the measurement of distal pulse rate (PR) at rest, and whilst completing other activities such as exercise or cognitive tasks [7]. However, to convert the PPG signal to an estimate of HR, a mathematical algorithm is required which may influence validity and reliability. These algorithms are rarely available in validation studies, limiting transparency. This is problematic given the proliferation of mHealth, and therefore the necessity that technologies are reliable and valid compared to gold standard measurements prior to universal adoption [30]. To examine validity of smartphone-derived HR (HR-PPG), De Ridder *et al.* [31] meta-analyzed studies published until 7th December 2016 examining HR-PPG compared to several methods including ECG, pulse oximetry, and radial pulse. Results revealed good agreement between HR-PPG and validated method-derived RHR. Authors

concluded that RHR obtained from HR-PPG could be an alternative to traditional methods but only in an adult population, and only in the right context. De Ridder and colleagues [31] highlighted several limitations to the included studies. Firstly, there was high statistical heterogeneity between studies, ostensibly due to participant characteristics, measurement conditions, and the smartphone devices utilized. Secondly, the latest iOS device reviewed was the iPhone 5 (released 2012) and the latest android was the Samsung Galaxy S4 (released 2013). Emerging evidence suggests advancements in technology, such as the availability of various camera positions (i.e., front-facing vs rear-facing) and the advent of multiple lenses could result in improvements in PPG acquisition [21]. Our recent scoping review [3] revealed ten studies that compared HR-PPG to ECG, and we reported extensive methodological study characteristics and guidelines for researchers and practitioners aiming to optimize HR-PPG. However, we did not quantify agreement between HR-PPG and ECG. Therefore, we thought it pragmatic to provide an update on the validity of HR-PPG compared to ECG, quantifying the literature using meta-analytical techniques.

Review questions:

This review poses primary and secondary research questions:

Primary research question: What is the agreement between HR-PPG and ECG?

Secondary research questions:

1. Are there differences in the agreement of HR-PPG based on device parameters (e.g., iOS or Android operating systems)?
2. What is the risk of bias and methodological quality of studies investigating agreement between HR-PPG and ECG?

We approach this review with the hypothesis that HR-PPG may offer therapeutic a valid alternative to ECG for measurement of resting hear rate. We also expect that, the evidence base will be limited but emerging, given the recency of smartphone devices for telemedicine and the time required to conduct and publish controlled trials, and most studies will be small-scale or pilot RCTs, possibly with methodological variability in study design, and data collection parameters. Given the novelty and evolving use of HR-PPG, we anticipate some heterogeneity in how populations are defined across studies, which may influence both inclusion decisions and the strength of conclusions.

Eligibility Criteria

Participants

This review will investigate studies with measurement of HR-PPG via front or rear facing camera of a smartphone by contact-based PPG. Only studies which report a correlation coefficient between HR-PPG and the gold standard measurement (ECG) will be included.

We will exclude articles if the index measurement was conducted with a device connected to a smartphone, such as a mobile sensor, medical device or wearable device; the paper did not include validity assessment of HR-PPG and HR-ECG as an outcome measurement; the study used a clinical population (we assumed healthy population unless stated otherwise); the paper was not an original article (i.e., utilized a database from a secondary source); the paper was a review; there was no abstract or full text available.

Types of Sources

This review will consider articles that present at least pilot data and include quantitative data. Review articles, case studies or qualitative studies will therefore not be considered.

Methods

This meta-analysis will be conducted in accordance with the PRISMA (Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses) guidelines. The protocol has been registered with Open Science Framework: <https://doi.org/10.17605/OSF.IO/83V7A>. Any deviation from the protocol will be clearly logged with dates, reasons, and impacts noted.

Search strategy

Our search strategy was designed to achieve a balance between comprehensive coverage of the relevant literature and practical constraints, while maintaining a high level of scientific rigour. We selected four databases—CINAHL Ultimate, MEDLINE, ScienceDirect, and Scopus—based on their relevance to the interdisciplinary nature of our topic, which spans health sciences, data processing, and behavioural research. CINAHL Ultimate was chosen for its strong coverage of allied health literature. MEDLINE (accessed via EBSCOhost) provides authoritative biomedical literature, including cardiac-focussed studies. ScienceDirect was included due to its extensive full-text access to journals in signal processing, physics, and related disciplines published by Elsevier. Scopus was selected for its comprehensive indexing of interdisciplinary research and citation tracking capabilities, allowing us to identify additional relevant studies. We will search grey literature alongside peer-reviewed sources. This includes preprints (e.g., MedRXiv, arXiv), dissertations (via ProQuest), conference proceedings (e.g., Web of Science), and institutional repositories like DSpace. Moreover, we will supplement database searches by reviewing reference lists, identifying citing articles via tools such as CrossRef and Google Scholar, and using CoCites to find articles with similar citation patterns. We will validate our search by testing it against known relevant studies to ensure key articles are captured. If the initial database search yields a high proportion of irrelevant records, the search strategy (including keywords, subject headings, and Boolean

logic) will be iteratively refined to improve precision and relevance. This process will continue until the strategy demonstrates adequate sensitivity and specificity. In cases where additional information is required to assess study eligibility or to extract necessary data, we will contact the corresponding authors using a standardized email template. If no response is received, reminder emails will be sent at two and four weeks following the initial contact. All attempts to contact authors, as well as any responses received, will be documented in detail. To enhance transparency, we will report the total number of authors contacted, the number who responded, and the number who provided the requested data. This information will be summarized in the main manuscript, with a supplementary table detailing the nature of the information requested and received. Although this will not be a living systematic review, we will re-run the database searches prior to submission if more than six months have elapsed since the initial search.

Query strings:

(((((“validity”) AND (“mobile”)) AND (“photoplethysmography”)) OR (“PPG”)) AND (“heart rate”)) AND (electrocardiogram OR ECG OR EKG) NOT (“wearable”) AND (2007:2025[pdat])).

The dates have been selected as 2008 was the year the first Apple iPhone was released, so to ensure we have captured all articles, we started the search in 2007 until the end of 2025.

This review focuses on correlation coefficients between HR-PPG and HR-ECG. We will also gather data on devices used, study participants, location of study, commercial availability of application, sampling rate, camera position and resolution, flash (torch) settings, channel used for computations, ECG device utilized, electrode placement, ECG processing information, instructions given to participants, dietary control, participant posture, region of interest,

breathing pattern, environmental conditions, stabilization period, duration of measurement, and number of attempts or trials.

Study/Source of Evidence Selection

After the search, all citations will be uploaded and have their duplicates removed in Rayyan [32]. The screening process will consist of two rounds: (1) title and abstract screening, and (2) full-text screening. In both rounds, two independent reviewers will screen each record using pre-specified inclusion and exclusion criteria developed *a priori*. Blinding of reviewers during screening will be implemented to the extent possible using Rayyan, which allows for independent decisions without visibility into the other reviewer's judgments. This helps reduce bias and increases objectivity in the initial phases of study selection. Conflicts between reviewers will be flagged automatically by Rayyan and subsequently resolved through discussion with two other reviewers. If consensus cannot be reached, a third reviewer (independent chair) will adjudicate. To assure consistency and transparency, all reviewers will undergo a calibration exercise using a small sample of studies before formal screening begins. At both the titles and abstracts stage and the full text stage, inter-rater reliability will be calculated and expressed via Cohen's Kappa statistic, scores range from -1 to 1 with scores closer to 1 indicating stronger agreement. This exercise will help refine the application of the inclusion/exclusion criteria and ensure a shared understanding of borderline cases. We will share the full list of sources from the database searches and screening decisions by individual screeners. Bibliographic data (titles, abstracts, metadata) will be exported in RIS and CSV formats, while screening decisions will be provided in a separate CSV/XLSX file. All files will be uploaded to an open-access repository, like OSF, upon manuscript submission or acceptance.

233 Data Extraction

234 During the training and calibration phase, a small subset of studies (approximately 5–10%)
235 will be independently extracted by all reviewers using a draft version of the data extraction
236 form. Discrepancies will be discussed and used to refine the data extraction protocol and
237 ensure consistency across reviewers.

238 In the primary data extraction phase, two reviewers will independently extract predefined
239 data items using a standardized and piloted extraction form. Extracted variables will include,
240 but are not limited to, correlation coefficients, photoplethysmography (PPG) parameters,
241 electrocardiogram (ECG) parameters, participant demographics, and device-specific
242 characteristics. During the risk of bias and methodological quality assessment phase, two
243 reviewers will independently evaluate study-level risk of bias using validated tools, such as
244 the Cochrane Risk of Bias tool. Any disagreements will be resolved through consensus
245 discussion or adjudicated by a third reviewer if necessary. In the reconciliation and
246 verification phase, extracted data will be compared, and discrepancies will be resolved
247 through discussion or third-party adjudication. Finalized data will then be entered into the
248 meta-analysis dataset. Where appropriate, artificial intelligence (AI) or computer-assisted
249 tools may be used to support the identification of relevant text passages or extraction of
250 bibliographic metadata. However, all outputs from such tools will be verified by human
251 reviewers. All stages of the data extraction and risk of bias assessment process will be
252 conducted or supervised by human reviewers to ensure methodological rigor and data
253 accuracy.

254 Data extraction will follow PRISMA guidelines and Cochrane Handbook procedures, using
255 the standardized form provided in the OSF project. Only data related to HR-PPG and HR-

ECG correlation coefficients, as defined by the study authors, will be extracted, with missing data marked as "NR" and flagged for follow-up.

Primary study information, including bibliographic details (author(s), year, title, journal), and setting (e.g., clinical, academic), will be recorded. Data extracted from each study will include sample size, participant sex, country of study, age, skin pigmentation, if participants were considered healthy, smartphone model, name of application utilized, whether the application was commercially available, index measurement sampling rate, camera position and resolution, flash (torch) settings, channel used for computations, ECG device utilized, electrode placement, and ECG processing information.

Statistical data (e.g., effect sizes) will be extracted. Risk of bias will be assessed using an appropriate tool if found. Ambiguous data will be flagged for discussion, and assumptions or clarifications will be noted in comments, with no estimation of missing data unless explicitly instructed. All numerical entries will be double-checked prior to submission, and a second reviewer will verify the data, resolving discrepancies through discussion or adjudication by a third reviewer. Completed forms will be saved and uploaded to the OSF folder following the naming convention: StudyID_ExtractorInitials_Extraction.xlsx.

Each round of data extraction will be conducted by two independent extractors working in parallel, covering study characteristics, participant data, and risk of bias assessments. Extractors will receive standardised training and work independently, with results compared and discrepancies reconciled through discussion. A third reviewer will adjudicate if disagreements persist. Inter-rater reliability will be assessed during training using Cohen's kappa. These results will inform adjustments to the extraction form and training. In each data extraction round, two independent extractors will compare their entries side by side, with

differences highlighted automatically. They will then discuss discrepancies, referring to source material and instructions, to reach consensus. If they cannot agree, a third senior reviewer will adjudicate, reviewing the relevant documents and providing a final decision, recorded in the reconciliation log. All discrepancies and resolutions will be documented. Systematic discrepancies will be analysed to update the extraction protocol if needed. Once reconciled, the data will be finalised for analysis and included in the meta-analytic dataset.

Data Analysis and Presentation

The analysis will be carried out using the Fisher r-to-z transformed correlation coefficient as the outcome measure. A random-effects model will be fitted to the data, with analyses conducted in R Studio using the *metafor* package. Weltz et al. [33] proposed that when conducting a meta-analysis involving Fisher r-to-z transformed correlation coefficients, especially in the presence of study heterogeneity, employing a random-effects model with appropriate variance estimation techniques yields more reliable and generalizable results compared to fixed-effects models. Therefore, random effects models will be utilized. Studentized residuals and Cook's distances will be used to examine whether studies may be outliers and/or influential in the context of the model [34]. Studies with a studentized residual larger than the $100 \times (1 - 0.05/(2 \times k))$ th percentile of a standard normal distribution will be considered potential outliers (i.e., using a Bonferroni correction with two-sided $\alpha = 0.05$ for k studies included in the meta-analysis). Studies with a Cook's distance larger than the median plus six times the interquartile range of the Cook's distances will be considered to be influential. The rank correlation test [35] and the regression test [36], using the standard error of the observed outcomes as predictor, will be used to check for funnel plot asymmetry. Heterogeneity will be assessed via I^2 , Cochran's Q , and τ^2 statistics. Subgroup analyses will explore variations in HR-PPG validity based on operating system, participant characteristics (e.g., age, sex), and study features if possible. Moderator analyses will assess how PPG

parameters and participant traits influence outcomes, and the impact of risk of bias will be tested through sensitivity analyses. Additional sensitivity checks will examine the effects of excluding high-risk studies, handling missing data, and methodological quality. Where available, follow-up data will be used to assess treatment durability, and adverse effects will be summarised qualitatively or quantitatively. If data allow, meta-regression will be used to examine relationships between continuous variables and effect sizes. Results will be presented using forest and funnel plots, and a GRADE profile will summarise the quality of evidence for each outcome. Conclusions will be based effect sizes with overall effect size $r > 0.9$ (approximately $z > 1.5$) being considered valid. For reference, a value of $r = 0.70$ is generally considered very large, and this is approximately equal to $z = 0.8$. High heterogeneity ($I^2 > 50\%$) will prompt cautious interpretation and exploration through subgroup or moderator analyses. The GRADE framework will guide the confidence in conclusions, depending on evidence quality.

Discussion

This meta-analysis protocol aims to provide an updated quantification of the validity of HR-PPG compared to HR-ECG in healthy subjects at rest. With the rapid development in technology and an improved understanding of this research area, this will be an important finding, indicating whether HR-PPG can be used with confidence. There is a pervasive belief that HR-PPG is analogous to HR-ECG, and herein we will provide an updated systematic review and meta-analysis to support or challenge this supposition.

The decision to conduct a meta-analysis arose from the need to identify agreement of HR-PPG with HR-ECG, including modifying parameters such as frame rate, camera location, skin pigmentation, operating system. Moreover, if there is an absence of knowledge around modifying variables, this systematic review will provide opportunities for future research. By

329 providing context to current findings, this research can guide future studies to concerning
330 PPG and its application.

331 Project Timeline

332 The estimated project timeline includes screening of titles and abstracts is from September
333 2025 to November 2025. Screening of full texts is estimated to be from November to
334 December 2025. Results are expected by February 2026. During this period, we will update
335 our database searches to identify any newly published studies that meet our inclusion criteria.

336 Acknowledgements

337 None.

338 Funding

339 None.

340 Conflicts of Interest

341 There are no conflicts of interest in this meta-analysis review.

342 Data availability: This is a protocol paper, so no data are currently available. Once the study
343 is complete, the dataset supporting the findings will be included in the main manuscript and
344 Appendix.

345 Authors contributions

346 All authors contributed equally to the conceptualisation, preliminary search, search strategy,
347 writing – original draft, review and editing

348

349

References

1. Humphreys K, Ward T, Markham C. Noncontact simultaneous dual wavelength photoplethysmography: a further step toward noncontact pulse oximetry. *Rev Sci Instrum.* 2007;78: 044304. doi:10.1063/1.2724789
2. Nilsson L, Goscinski T, Kalman S, Lindberg L-G, Johansson A. Combined photoplethysmographic monitoring of respiration rate and pulse: a comparison between different measurement sites in spontaneously breathing subjects. *Acta Anaesthesiol Scand.* 2007;51: 1250–1257. doi:10.1111/j.1399-6576.2007.01375.x
3. Mather JD, Hayes LD, Mair JL, Sculthorpe NF. Validity of resting heart rate derived from contact-based smartphone photoplethysmography compared with electrocardiography: a scoping review and checklist for optimal acquisition and reporting. *Front Digit Health.* 2024;6: 1326511. doi:10.3389/fdgth.2024.1326511
4. Photoelectric Plethysmography of the Fingers and Toes in Man - Alrick B. Hertzman, 1937. [cited 6 Jun 2025]. Available: <https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/abs/10.3181/00379727-37-9630>
5. Prospective assessment of lower-extremity peripheral arterial disease in diabetic patients using a novel automated optical device - PubMed. [cited 6 Jun 2025]. Available: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/18024941/>
6. Hertzman AB. The blood supply of various skin areas as estimated by the photoelectric plethysmograph. *American Journal of Physiology-Legacy Content.* 1938;124: 328–340. doi:10.1152/ajplegacy.1938.124.2.328

7. Almarshad MA, Islam MS, Al-Ahmadi S, BaHammam AS. Diagnostic Features and Potential Applications of PPG Signal in Healthcare: A Systematic Review. Healthcare (Basel). 2022;10: 547. doi:10.3390/healthcare10030547
8. Challoner AV, Ramsay CA. A photoelectric plethysmograph for the measurement of cutaneous blood flow. Phys Med Biol. 1974;19: 317–328. doi:10.1088/0031-9155/19/3/003
9. Park J, Seok HS, Kim S-S, Shin H. Photoplethysmogram Analysis and Applications: An Integrative Review. Front Physiol. 2021;12: 808451. doi:10.3389/fphys.2021.808451
10. Li K, Zhang S, Yang L, Jiang H, Chi Z, Wang A, et al. Changes of Arterial Pulse Waveform Characteristics with Gestational Age during Normal Pregnancy. Sci Rep. 2018;8: 15571. doi:10.1038/s41598-018-33890-1
11. Venema B, Schiefer J, Blazek V, Blanik N, Leonhardt S. Evaluating Innovative In-Ear Pulse Oximetry for Unobtrusive Cardiovascular and Pulmonary Monitoring During Sleep. IEEE J Transl Eng Health Med. 2013;1: 2700208. doi:10.1109/JTEHM.2013.2277870
12. Venema B, Blanik N, Blazek V, Gehring H, Opp A, Leonhardt S. Advances in reflective oxygen saturation monitoring with a novel in-ear sensor system: results of a human hypoxia study. IEEE Trans Biomed Eng. 2012;59: 2003–2010. doi:10.1109/TBME.2012.2196276
13. Blanik N, Heimann K, Pereira C, Paul M, Blazek V, Venema B, et al. Remote vital parameter monitoring in neonatology - robust, unobtrusive heart rate detection in a realistic clinical scenario. Biomed Tech (Berl). 2016;61: 631–643. doi:10.1515/bmt-2016-0025

14. Wannenburg J, Malekian R. Body Sensor Network for Mobile Health Monitoring, a Diagnosis and Anticipating System. *IEEE Sensors Journal*. 2015;15: 6839–6852. doi:10.1109/JSEN.2015.2464773
15. Mayerhöfer TG, Pahlow S, Popp J. The Bouguer-Beer-Lambert Law: Shining Light on the Obscure. *ChemPhysChem*. 2020;21: 2029–2046. doi:10.1002/cphc.202000464
16. Elgendi M. On the analysis of fingertip photoplethysmogram signals. *Curr Cardiol Rev*. 2012;8: 14–25. doi:10.2174/157340312801215782
17. Elgendi M, Fletcher R, Liang Y, Howard N, Lovell NH, Abbott D, et al. The use of photoplethysmography for assessing hypertension. *NPJ Digit Med*. 2019;2: 60. doi:10.1038/s41746-019-0136-7
18. Drijckoningen L, Lenaerts F, Vandervoort P, Grieten L. Validation of a smartphone based photoplethysmographic beat detection algorithm for normal and ectopic complexes.
19. Goërtz YMJ, Braamse AMJ, Spruit MA, Janssen DJA, Ebadi Z, Van Herck M, et al. Fatigue in patients with chronic disease: results from the population-based Lifelines Cohort Study. *Sci Rep*. 2021;11: 20977. doi:10.1038/s41598-021-00337-z
20. Mair JL, Hayes LD, Campbell AK, Sculthorpe N. Should We Use Activity Tracker Data From Smartphones and Wearables to Understand Population Physical Activity Patterns? *Journal for the Measurement of Physical Behaviour*. 2022;1: 1–5. doi:10.1123/jmpb.2021-0012
21. Raposo A, da Silva HP, Sanches J. Camera-based Photoplethysmography (cbPPG) using smartphone rear and frontal cameras: an experimental study. *Annu Int Conf IEEE Eng Med Biol Soc*. 2021;2021: 7091–7094. doi:10.1109/EMBC46164.2021.9630847

22. Kim BH, Glanz K. Text messaging to motivate walking in older African Americans: a randomized controlled trial. *Am J Prev Med*. 2013;44: 71–75.
doi:10.1016/j.amepre.2012.09.050
23. Blumenthal D, Mort E, Edwards J. The efficacy of primary care for vulnerable population groups. *Health Serv Res*. 1995;30: 253–273.
24. Weitz TA, Freund KM, Wright L. Identifying and caring for underserved populations: experience of the National Centers of Excellence in Women’s Health. *J Womens Health Gend Based Med*. 2001;10: 937–952. doi:10.1089/152460901317193521
25. Sculthorpe NF, McLaughlin M, Cerexhe L, Macdonald E, Dello Iacono A, Sanal-Hayes NEM, et al. Tracking Persistent Symptoms in Scotland (TraPSS): a longitudinal prospective cohort study of COVID-19 recovery after mild acute infection. *BMJ Open*. 2025;15: e086646. doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2024-086646
26. Hayes LD, Sanal-Hayes NEM, Ellam M, McLaughlin M, Swainson MG, Sculthorpe NF. A method for determination of hematocrit using the mobile app “HaemoCalc”: Validity, reliability, and effect of user expertise. *Physiological Reports*. 2025;13: e70314.
doi:10.14814/phy2.70314
27. Hayes LD, Ingram J, Sculthorpe NF. More Than 100 Persistent Symptoms of SARS-CoV-2 (Long COVID): A Scoping Review. *Frontiers in Medicine*. 2021;8.
28. Goal 3 | Department of Economic and Social Affairs. [cited 6 Jun 2025]. Available: <https://sdgs.un.org/goals/goal3>

29. Asi YM, Williams C. The role of digital health in making progress toward Sustainable Development Goal (SDG) 3 in conflict-affected populations. *International Journal of Medical Informatics*. 2018;114: 114–120. doi:10.1016/j.ijmedinf.2017.11.003
30. Vandenberg T, Stans J, Mortelmans C, Van Haelst R, Van Schelvergem G, Pelckmans C, et al. Clinical Validation of Heart Rate Apps: Mixed-Methods Evaluation Study. *JMIR Mhealth Uhealth*. 2017;5: e129. doi:10.2196/mhealth.7254
31. De Ridder B, Van Rompaey B, Kampen JK, Haine S, Dilles T. Smartphone Apps Using Photoplethysmography for Heart Rate Monitoring: Meta-Analysis. *JMIR Cardio*. 2018;2: e4. doi:10.2196/cardio.8802
32. Ouzzani M, Hammady H, Fedorowicz Z, Elmagarmid A. Rayyan—a web and mobile app for systematic reviews. *Systematic Reviews*. 2016;5: 210. doi:10.1186/s13643-016-0384-4
33. Fisher transformation based confidence intervals of correlations in fixed- and random-effects meta-analysis - Welz - 2022 - British Journal of Mathematical and Statistical Psychology - Wiley Online Library. [cited 6 Jun 2025]. Available: <https://bpspsychub.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/full/10.1111/bmsp.12242>
34. Viechtbauer W, Cheung MW-L. Outlier and influence diagnostics for meta-analysis. *Res Synth Methods*. 2010;1: 112–125. doi:10.1002/jrsm.11
35. Begg CB, Mazumdar M. Operating characteristics of a rank correlation test for publication bias. *Biometrics*. 1994;50: 1088–1101.

36. Sterne JAC, Egger M. Regression Methods to Detect Publication and Other Bias in Meta-Analysis. Publication Bias in Meta-Analysis. John Wiley & Sons, Ltd; 2005. pp. 99–110. doi:10.1002/0470870168.ch6
37. Sasaki N, Yamatoku M, Tsuchida T, Sato H, Yamaguchi K. Effect of Repetitive Transcranial Magnetic Stimulation on Long Coronavirus Disease 2019 with Fatigue and Cognitive Dysfunction. Prog Rehabil Med. 2023;8: 20230004. doi:10.2490/prm.20230004
38. Miwa K, Inoue Y. Repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation ameliorates symptoms in patients with myalgic encephalomyelitis (chronic fatigue syndrome). IBRO Neuroscience Reports. 2023;15: 335–341. doi:10.1016/j.ibneur.2023.10.008
39. Yang DG, Gu R, Kubo J, Kakuda W. Is the efficacy of repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation influenced by baseline severity of fatigue symptom in patients with myalgic encephalomyelitis. The International journal of neuroscience. 2020;130: 64–70. doi:10.1080/00207454.2019.1663189
40. Kakuda W, Momosaki R, Yamada N, Abo M. High-frequency rTMS for the Treatment of Chronic Fatigue Syndrome: A Case Series. Internal medicine (Tokyo, Japan). 2016;55: 3515–3519. doi:10.2169/internalmedicine.55.7354