

Investigating the resistome, taxonomic composition, and mobilome of bacterial communities in hospital wastewaters of Metro Manila using a shotgun metagenomics approach

Jiaan Carlo E. Santos^{1,5}, Daphne Janelyn L. Go^{3,5,6}, Robert D. Unciano^{4,5}, Paul K. Yu⁸, Angelyn R. Lao^{2,5}, Ma. Luisa D. Enriquez^{1,5,7}, Llewelyn M. Espiritu^{1,5}, and Anish M.S. Shrestha^{3,5,6}

¹Department of Biology, College of Science, De La Salle University, Manila 0922, Philippines

²Department of Mathematics and Statistics, College of Science, De La Salle University, Manila 0922, Philippines

³Department of Software Technology, College of Computer Studies, De La Salle University, Manila 0922, Philippines

⁴Institute of Arts and Sciences, Far Eastern University, Manila 1015, Philippines

⁵Systems & Computational Biology Unit, Center for Natural Sciences and Environmental Research, De La Salle University, Manila 0922, Philippines

⁶Bioinformatics Lab, Advanced Research Institute for Informatics, Computing, and Networking, De La Salle University, Manila 0922, Philippines

⁷Research & Biotechnology Division, St. Luke's Medical Center, Quezon City 1112, Philippines

⁸Bioinformatics and Genomics Program, Pennsylvania State University College of Medicine, Hershey, PA 17033, USA

Abstract

We profiled antibiotic resistance genes, bacterial communities, and mobile genetic elements in untreated hospital wastewater from three tertiary hospitals in Metro Manila using shotgun metagenomic sequencing. The resistome analysis revealed high abundances of genes known to confer resistance against sulfonamides (*sul1*, *sul2*), aminoglycosides (*aadS*), and macrolides/streptogramins (*msrE*, *mphE*). High-risk resistance genes were also detected, including those known to confer resistance to β -lactams (*bla_{OXA}*, *bla_{TEM}*, *bla_{GES}*, *bla_{NDM}*, *bla_{KPC}*), colistins (*mcr-5*), and tetracyclines (*tet(C)*, *tet(A)*, *tet(L)*, *tet(M)*). Comparisons with hospital wastewater resistome profiles from regional neighbors and other lower-and-middle income countries indicated broadly similar relative abundances of dominant resistance genes, with differences largely driven by low-abundance resistance genes. The bacterial community was dominated by the phylum Pseudomonadota, with high relative abundances of the genera *Stenotrophomonas*, *Rhodococcus*, and *Pseudomonas*, while ES-KAPEE pathogens were detected at lower levels. A diverse array of mobile genetic elements – many known to be associated with resistance to multiple drug classes and disinfectants – was also observed. Overall, this

study provides a valuable preliminary evidence base for future antimicrobial resistance and epidemiological surveillance efforts in the Philippines, particularly those employing wastewater-based approaches.

Importance

Antimicrobial resistance (AMR) is a growing public health threat caused by pathogenic bacteria that are no longer controlled by commonly used treatments. Infections caused by these resistant bacteria may lead to prolonged illness, more severe symptoms, or even death. Hospitals are critical hotspots for the emergence and spread of AMR. Their wastewater, which contains antibiotics, medical and human waste, and diverse microbial communities, can support the persistence and dissemination of resistant bacteria. The significance of this research lies in identifying and characterizing these bacterial communities and the resistance genes they carry. Such information can provide an indication of the resistance burden faced by patients and serve as an early warning system to strengthen infection prevention and control measures, support national surveillance efforts, and inform the development of more effective treatment and management strategies in healthcare settings.

Keywords: metagenomics, antibiotic resistance, bioinformatics, hospital wastewater, resistome

1 Introduction

Antimicrobial resistance (AMR) is one of the most pressing global health threats. In 2019, an estimated 1.27 million deaths were directly attributed to bacterial AMR and 4.9 million deaths associated with it [1]. It is predicted that the economic burden of AMR-related healthcare costs will reach USD 1 trillion annually by 2050 [2]. The Philippines, a lower-middle-income country, is among those disproportionately affected with an estimated 15,700 deaths attributed directly to resistant infections and 56,700 deaths associated with AMR in 2019 [1]. Effective surveillance methods are critical for tracing and understanding AMR risks, including the spread of antibiotic resistance genes (ARGs), discovery of novel resistance mechanisms, and identification of bacterial pathogens carrying these genes [1, 3]. The Philippine Department of Health established the Antimicrobial Resistance Surveillance Program (ARSP) in 1988 to monitor clinically significant antibiotic-resistant bacteria (ARB) from clinical samples. This program has reported an alarming increase in AMR in recent years. In 2023, the program documented rising resistance trends, for example, to carbapenems in *E. coli* and *K. pneumoniae*; to ceftazidime, piperacillin, carbapenems, and possible multidrug-resistance in *P. aeruginosa*; and to ceftazidime, carbapenems, piperacillin, and cotrimoxazole in *A. baumannii* [4].

Laboratories participating in the ARSP utilize culture-dependent methods for antibiotic susceptibility testing on clinically significant species. However, there remains a need for more comprehensive approaches in addressing the full scope of AMR. Shotgun metagenomics provides a powerful approach to analyze the genetic material of microorganisms, including unculturable bacteria, eliminating the biases inherent in culture-dependent methods [5, 6, 7]. This approach enables comprehensive identification and profiling of microorganisms, ARGs, and mobile genetic elements (MGEs) that mediate transfer and spread of resistance genes.

Hospitals are recognized hotspots for AMR due to the frequent use of antibiotics in treating several pathogens. Hospital wastewater (HWW), in particular, contains a variety of waste materials, including human waste, medical waste, hazardous chemicals, and antibiotics originating from diverse hospital-related activities [5, 8, 9]. This environment fosters a high concentration and diversity of ARGs, and bacterial pathogens, promoting mutations and horizontal gene transfer (HGT) between ARBs, making HWW an important medium for capturing a snapshot of AMR activity [10]. The

1 presence of ARGs in HWW can reflect hospital-associated resistance pressures arising from patients,
2 healthcare workers, hospital infrastructure, and routine clinical and non-clinical activities, providing
3 insight into the AMR burden within the hospital environment. Indeed, several studies worldwide
4 have applied shotgun metagenomics for AMR profiling in HWW (see e.g. [11], [12], [13], [7], [14]).

5 The objective of this exploratory study is to characterize the ARG abundance profile, bacterial
6 community composition, and the occurrence of MGEs in untreated hospital wastewater (HWW)
7 from tertiary hospitals in Metro Manila, Philippines, using whole-genome shotgun metagenomics.
8 To the best of our knowledge, this work represents the first metagenomics-based profiling of HWW in
9 the Philippines and establishes an initial evidence based on the diversity and prevalence of ARGs and
10 clinically relevant pathogens in healthcare-associated wastewater, thereby informing future strategies
11 for antimicrobial resistance surveillance and mitigation in the country.

12 2 Methods

13 2.1 HWW sampling

14 HWW samples were collected biweekly over a three-month period (May to July 2023) between 8:00
15 and 11:00 AM from three hospitals (Hospital A, Hospital B, and Hospital C) located in Metro
16 Manila. Untreated HWW samples were obtained from the collection points immediately prior to
17 wastewater treatment, using sterile collection bottles with a total volume of 1000 mL. Samples A1-A6
18 were collected from Hospital A, from a collection pipe right before the primary collection tank of the
19 treatment facility. Samples B1-B6 were collected from hospital B, from a manhole directly before the
20 primary collection tank of hospital B's treatment facility. Samples C1-C6 were collected from hospital
21 C from a collection chute right before the primary treatment tank of their treatment facility. Each
22 hospital employs its own treatment process, which includes physicochemical and biological methods,
23 before discharging the wastewater into the city sewage system. Sample information is summarized
24 in Table 1.

25 The surface of the sample bottles was sterilized with ethyl alcohol, placed in a zip bag, labeled,
26 transferred on ice (2–4°C), and processed within 5 hours after collection. The collected HWW
27 samples were subjected to vacuum filtration using 0.22 μm polyethersulfone (PES, Merck Millipore)
28 membrane filters connected to a vacuum filtration assembly (AGAP Laboratories) powered by a
29 portable vacuum pump. For each sample, 100 - 150 mL of HWW was filtered until clogging of the
30 membrane occurred. The used filters were then transferred into 15 mL sterile conical centrifuge
31 tubes (FalconTM) and stored at 2–4°C until DNA extraction.

32 2.2 DNA extraction

33 Bacterial DNA was extracted using the DNeasy[®] PowerWater[®] extraction kit (Qiagen, 2020) per
34 the manufacturer's protocol. The quality and quantity of the extracted DNA were evaluated using
35 a NanoDrop 2000c spectrophotometer, yielding $>5 \text{ ng}/\mu\text{L}$ concentration, purity ratios (A260/280)
36 >1.8 , and volumes $>10 \mu\text{L}$. DNA integrity was checked via electrophoresis (Vivantis) on a 1.2%
37 (w/v) agarose gel run at 100 V for 30 minutes. All samples met the quality control criteria for
38 high-quality DNA extracts. The DNA samples were resuspended in an elution buffer and stored
39 at -80°C prior to sequencing. For sequencing, 20 μL aliquots of each sample were placed in 2 mL
40 sterile microcentrifuge tubes sealed with parafilm, and submitted for sequencing.

Sample ID	Hospital	Date of collection	Type of Hospital	Private or Public	Number of Beds Available
A1	A	May 2023	Tertiary	Private	650
A2	A	May 2023	Tertiary	Private	650
A3	A	June 2023	Tertiary	Private	650
A4	A	June 2023	Tertiary	Private	650
A5	A	July 2023	Tertiary	Private	650
A6	A	July 2023	Tertiary	Private	650
B1	B	May 2023	Tertiary	Private	800
B2	B	May 2023	Tertiary	Private	800
B3	B	June 2023	Tertiary	Private	800
B4	B	June 2023	Tertiary	Private	800
B5	B	July 2023	Tertiary	Private	800
B6	B	July 2023	Tertiary	Private	800
C1	C	May 2023	Tertiary	Private	812
C2	C	May 2023	Tertiary	Private	812
C3	C	June 2023	Tertiary	Private	812
C4	C	June 2023	Tertiary	Private	812
C5	C	July 2023	Tertiary	Private	812
C6	C	July 2023	Tertiary	Private	812

Table 1: Sample information

2.3 DNA sequencing

A total of 18 DNA extracts were subjected to Nextera XT library preparation and shotgun metagenomic sequencing using the Illumina Novaseq6000 platform at the Macrogen Laboratories, South Korea. Shotgun metagenomic sequencing generated an average of 8.8 Gbp of raw sequence data per sample with a read length of 150 bp paired-end reads.

2.4 Quality control of sequence data

Quality assessment of the raw sequence data was performed using FastQC (v 0.12.1) [15] and MultiQC (v 1.14) [16]. Trimming of adapter sequences, primers, and low-quality reads was performed using Cutadapt (v 4.2) [17], with parameters provided by the sequencing facility based on the Nextera XT library preparation protocol. The following settings were used: `-a CTGTCTCTTATACACATCT` `-A CTGTCTCTTATACACATCT` for forward and reverse adapter sequences, respectively, `-O 10` to remove adapters with a minimum overlap of 10 bases, `-m 30` to discard reads shorter than 30 bases, and `-q 20` to trim bases with a quality score below 20.

2.5 ARG identification and quantification

Bowtie2 (v 2.5.1) [18] was used to align the sequencing reads against the Comprehensive Antibiotic Resistance Database (CARD) (v 3.2.6, accessed June 2023) [19]. We selected the CARD as the reference ARG database due to its extensive coverage of resistance determinants and associated antibiotics, and its structured Antibiotic Resistance Ontology (ARO) for drug-class annotation. Only nucleotide reference sequences from the CARD Protein Homolog database (nucleotide_fasta_protein_homolog_model.fasta) were used for alignment; resistome- and variant-based

databases were excluded. The alignment was performed using the command `bowtie2 -x CARD-db -1 reads1 -2 reads2 -D 20 -R 3 -N 1 -L 20 -i S,1,0.50`, which corresponds to Bowtie2's very sensitive preset.

For an ARG gene G , its number of copies per genome in a sample [20] was estimated as follows:

$$n_G = \frac{150 \times r_G / l_G}{B \times \text{average bacterial genome size}}, \quad (1)$$

where r_G is the number of reads mapped to G , l_G is the nucleotide length of G , B is the number of bases in the sample, and 150 refers to the length of the sequence reads. The relative abundance of G in that sample, denoted by a_G , was then computed using:

$$a_G = \frac{n_G}{\sum_H n_H}, \quad (2)$$

where the summation is across all ARG H in the sample.

All resistance genes in the CARD database were clustered into their corresponding drug classes. These drug classes categorize ARGs based on their mechanisms of action and the bacterial pathways they target. The clustering was done using the ARO numbers associated with each resistance gene. For a sample, the total count of ARGs belonging to a drug class D , denoted by n_D , was calculated using Equation 3.

$$n_D = \sum_{G \in D} n_G \quad (3)$$

The relative abundance of D in that sample, denoted by a_D , was then computed using:

$$a_D = \frac{n_D}{\sum_T n_T} \quad (4)$$

where the summation is across all Drug Classes T in the sample.

2.6 Bacterial Taxonomy Identification and Quantification

Taxonomic identification of bacterial communities was performed with Kraken 2 [21] using Standard Kraken 2 16GB Database. The relative abundances of various microbial genera were estimated using Bracken [22].

2.7 MGE Identification and Quantification

MGEs were identified by aligning the reads to three reference databases: ISFinder database for insertion sequences (IS) [23], PlasmidFinder database for plasmid replicons [24], and INTEGRALL database for integrases [25]. The alignment was performed using Bowtie2 (v2.5.1) with its very sensitive preset: `bowtie2 -x database_index -1 reads1 -2 reads2 -D 20 -R 3 -N 1 -L 20 -i S,1,0.50`, where `database_index` corresponds to the Bowtie2 index generated from the ISFinder, PlasmidFinder, or INTEGRALL databases.

The relative abundance of an IS I in a sample, denoted by a_I was computed using:

$$a_I = \frac{r_I / l_I}{\sum_H r_H / l_H}, \quad (5)$$

where r_I is the number of reads mapped to I , l_I is the nucleotide length of I , and the summation is across all insertion sequences H in the sample.

The relative abundances of plasmid replicons in PlasmidFinder and integrases in INTEGRALL were computed similarly.

3 Results and Discussion

3.1 *sul1*, *sul2*, *aadS*, *msrE*, *mphE*, *qacEΔ1*, and *qacL* were the predominant ARGs across all samples.




Figure 1: Relative abundances of the top ARGs from Hospital A (A), Hospital B (B), and Hospital C (C). ARGs not part of the top were grouped as “Others.”

We observed a wide diversity of ARGs across all our samples. Figure 1 shows the relative abundances of the top ARGs found at each hospital. The hospitals exhibited similar sets of high-abundance ARGs, which include *sul1*, *sul2*, *aadS*, *msrE*, *mphE*, *qacEΔ1*, and *qacL*.

Of these, *sul1* and *sul2* are known to confer sulfonamide resistance by encoding alternative dihydropteroate synthase (DHPS) enzymes that reduce antibiotic efficacy [26]. Previous research has frequently identified these plasmid-mediated genes in clinical isolates belonging to the genera *Acinetobacter*, *Escherichia*, *Klebsiella*, *Stenotrophomonas*, and *Staphylococcus* [19]. The prevalence of *sul* genes has been documented globally, including in lower and middle income countries (LMICs) such as South Africa and India, where they are predominant in HWW [14, 6].

The streptomycin resistance gene *aadS* encodes an aminoglycoside acetyltransferase that inactivates aminoglycoside antibiotics [27, 19]. This gene is typically phenotypically silent in wild-type *Bacteroides*, with expression induced only by a chromosomal mutation [28]. Previous studies have shown that *aadS*, which is associated with the phylum Bacteroidota, is commonly located on insertion sequences and transposons, indicating its potential role in horizontal gene transfer. [29, 30]. Its presence has been documented in wastewater systems, including untreated HWWs from South Korea, Mexico, and several member states of the European Union [31, 32, 33].

The *msrE* and *mphE* genes encode an ABC-F subfamily protein and a macrolide phosphotransferase respectively, which protect against and inactivate streptogramin and macrolide antibiotics. Studies have reported that both genes confer higher levels of resistance against second-generation macrolides compared to first-generation macrolides, underscoring the potential influence of increased clinical use of second-generation macrolides in recent years [34, 35]. Studies have reported that both ARGs are frequently associated with *Acinetobacter*, *Pseudomonas*, *Escherichia*, and *Klebsiella*, among other genera [36, 19]. The prevalence of both genes has been documented in HWWs across various countries, including the Czech Republic and Scotland (UK) [11, 13].

The *qacEΔ1* and *qacL* genes confer resistance to quaternary ammonium compounds (QAC) widely used as surfactants, antimicrobials in disinfectants, and cleaning products[37]. Both genes have been associated with *Acinetobacter*, *Klebsiella*, *Pseudomonas*, and *Stenotrophomonas* among others [19, 38]. Prior research has demonstrated that both ARGs are typically plasmid-encoded,

thereby increasing their potential for horizontal gene transfer and environmental dissemination [39]. Their presence has been documented in HWWs from several countries, including China, Israel, and South Africa [40, 41, 8, 6].

We note an important caveat that the ARGs we identified here are inferred from read-mapping counts. As these genes comprise diverse variants with heterogeneous resistance phenotypes, their detection does not necessarily indicate clinically relevant resistance in all instances. Also we only detect DNA, which does not necessarily imply gene expression or phenotypic resistance and thus does not directly translate into clinical risk.

3.2 Aminoglycoside, macrolide, β -lactam, tetracycline, and sulfonamide-associated ARGs had the highest combined relative abundances.




Figure 2: Top 10 drug classes based on combined relative abundances of associated ARGs identified in Hospital A (A), Hospital B (B), and Hospital C (C). Drug classes not part of the top 10 were grouped as “Others.”

We consolidated the relative abundances of the ARGs based on the drug classes they are reported to be associated with in the CARD database, as shown in Equation 4. Figure 2 shows the top 10 drug classes with the consolidated abundances. The most abundant consolidated drug classes include aminoglycosides, macrolides, β -lactams, tetracyclines, and sulfonamides.

Among these, aminoglycosides are commonly used to treat severe Gram-negative bacterial infections, acting by binding to the 30S ribosomal subunit and inhibiting protein synthesis. Resistance is often mediated by ARGs encoding aminoglycoside-modifying enzymes (AMEs), including *aph(3'')-Ib*, *aph(6)-Id*, *aac(6')-Ib7*, *aac(6')-IIa*, and *aac(6')-II* which were observed in our samples. These ARGs have been found to be plasmid-mediated increasing the likelihood of HGT across bacterial species [42, 43, 44, 45]. The WHO classifies aminoglycosides as Critically Important Antimicrobials, highlighting the urgent need to preserve their clinical efficacy and prevent the potential spread of resistance [3].

Macrolides are commonly used to treat pneumonia and manage cystic fibrosis infections caused by multidrug-resistant, hospital-acquired *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* [46]. Multiple ARGs conferring resistance to macrolides were detected in our samples, including efflux pumps genes (*smeE*, *mefC*, *axyY*, *mexK*, *smeD*), ribosomal target modification genes (*erm(46)*), and antibiotic inactivation genes (*mphG*, *ereD*, *eraA2*, *mphF*). The widespread use of macrolides in both clinical and agricultural settings has contributed to the increasing prevalence of resistance among pathogenic organisms [47, 48, 49]. Like aminoglycosides, macrolides are classified by the WHO as Critically Important Antimicrobials [3].

β -lactam antibiotics are among the most widely used agents to combat a broad spectrum of Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacterial infections. They act by inhibiting cell wall synthesis through binding to essential penicillin-binding proteins[50]. β -lactams, particularly 3rd- and 4th-generation cephalosporins, are classified as Highest Priority Critically Important Antimicrobials, as their proper use is essential to preserve effectiveness against the increasing threat of multidrug-resistant *Enterobacteriales* worldwide [3]. We detected *bla*_{OXA}, *bla*_{GES}, *bla*_{TEM}, *bla*_{KPC}, and *bla*_{NDM} resistance genes in our samples. However, since these genes encompass diverse variants with variable resistance phenotypes; the presence of β -lactam ARGs does not necessarily indicate clinically significant resistance in all cases [51, 50, 52].

Tetracyclines are broad-spectrum antibiotics that are commonly used to treat and manage various infections. They act by inhibiting the 30S ribosomal subunit, thereby halting bacterial growth and replication [53]. We identified several tetracycline resistance genes, including flavin-dependent monooxygenase (*tet*(X)), major facilitator superfamily efflux pump genes (*tet*(G), *tet*(C), *tet*(39), *tet*(A), *tet*(L)), and ribosomal protection proteins genes (*tet*(M), *tet*(O), *tet*(Q), *tet*(W)). The WHO classifies tetracyclines as Highly Important Antimicrobials, emphasizing the need for continuous surveillance to preserve their clinical efficacy [3].

Sulfonamides are used to treat a range of bacterial infections, including urinary tract infections, respiratory diseases, and opportunistic infections in immunocompromised patients[54]. They act as competitive inhibitors of DHPS in the bacterial folate synthesis pathway, thereby inhibiting their growth and development [26]. Specifically, the ARGs (*sul1*, *sul2*) were detected in our samples.

3.3 Relative abundances of dominant ARGs are similar among regional neighbors and LMICs, with differences driven by low-abundance ARGs.




Figure 3: Comparing Philippine samples to regional neighbors and LMICs (BFH: Burkina Faso, BH: Benin, CH: China, IND: China, SA: South Africa, SG: Singapore). (a) Relative abundances of ARGs consolidated by drug classes. The Philippine samples (PHA, PHB, PHC) were aggregated at the hospital level by computing the compositional mean of each ARG across samples from the same hospital using the *mean.acomp* function in the R compositions package. Philippine hospitals are denoted as PHA (Hospital A), PHB (Hospital B), and PHC (Hospital C). (b) Principal component analysis (PCA) based on the relative abundances shown in (a).

Previous studies have demonstrated that ARG abundance profiles in wastewater are associated with geographic location and economic and development status [7, 55]. To assess the similarities and differences between our samples and those from LMICs and regional neighbors, we compared our ARG abundance profiles with those derived from publicly available shotgun metagenomic datasets of untreated HWW. From the European Nucleotide Archive, we found datasets from previously published studies conducted in India, Burkina Faso, Benin, South Africa, China, and Singapore ([12, 7, 14, 6, 56])

Figure 3 shows the drug-class-consolidated ARG relative abundances and the corresponding PCA plot. Our samples (PH) formed a relatively coherent cluster and were positioned closest to samples from India and China and were clearly separated from African samples (Burkina Faso (BFH), Benin (BH), South Africa (SA)) along both PC1 and PC2. The drug classes with the highest contributions to PC1 were oxazolidinone, streptogramin, nitrofurans, and bicyclomycin-like, nucleoside, phosphonic acid. Most of these are not among the drug classes with high relative abundance shown in Figure 3(a), suggesting that the differences are driven mostly by the low-abundance classes and that the dominant drug classes (macrolides, aminoglycosides, beta-lactam, tetracycline, sulfonamide) have similar profiles across the countries. A global monitoring study of urban untreated sewage showed through a PCA of ARG concentration profiles that Asian and African samples clustered together and separated from European and North American samples [55]. The separation was driven by mainly aminoglycosides, beta-lactams, tetracyclines, and sulfonamides, while macrolide concentrations remained similar globally. It is interesting that our results from untreated HWW are consistent with their findings from urban sewage. The similarities between samples may reflect shared country contexts, including similarities in antimicrobial access, limited routine susceptibility testing, variability in regulatory enforcement, and antimicrobial stewardship practices [57, 58, 59].

3.4 High-risk ARGs were observed in all samples.

Previous studies have classified certain ARGs as being high risk based on their abundance, mobility, and host pathogenicity which have been linked to antibiotic treatment failures in hospital settings [60, 5]. They further classified the high-risk ARGs into 4 categories – Highest Priority, High Priority, Highly Important, or Important – based on their clinical relevance and alignment with the WHO list of medically important antimicrobials. Multiple high-risk ARGs were identified across the three hospitals as shown in Figure 4.

Multiple β -lactam resistance genes classified as Highest Priority ARGs were detected across all hospitals. The presence of these ARGs may be attributed to the frequent clinical use of β -lactam antibiotics, which corresponds to the increasing resistance rates among Gram-negative bacilli isolates from Philippine hospitals [4]. National surveillance studies have frequently reported variants of β -lactam resistance genes such as *bla*_{AIM}, *bla*_{OXA}, and *bla*_{TEM}, whereas *bla*_{NDM} and *bla*_{KPC} have been detected in a few clinical isolates [4]. Of concern, *bla*_{NDM}, a carbapenemase that confers resistance to most β -lactam antibiotics, was first identified in India and has since spread globally [51]. Similarly, *bla*_{KPC}, first identified from a *K. pneumoniae* isolate in the United States, has been documented in multiple countries including France and Israel [61, 52, 62]. The detection of both *bla*_{NDM} and *bla*_{KPC} variants in our samples raises concern regarding the potential transmission of these high-risk ARGs and warrants further investigation at the national level.

The mobile colistin resistance gene (*mcr-5*) was also identified in our samples. Colistin serves as a last-resort treatment against multidrug-resistant Gram-negative infections and is classified as a Highest Priority Critically Important Antimicrobial, highlighting the need to preserve its clinical effectivity [63, 3]. Although previous clinical studies in the Philippines have primarily reported *mcr-1*, the detection of *mcr-5* in our study is noteworthy due to its higher resistance activity and greater




Figure 4: High-risk ARGs. Black boxes indicate the presence of ARGs for each hospital A, B, and C.

potential for HGT, underscoring the need for continued surveillance of this resistance gene [64, 4]. Tetracycline ARGs, classified as Highly Important, were detected across all hospitals. We identified genes encoding major facilitator superfamily antibiotic efflux pumps (*tet(39)*, *tet(A)*, *tet(L)*) and tetracycline-resistant ribosomal protection proteins (*tet(M)*, *tet(O)*, *tet(Q)*, *tet(W)*). Previous studies in the Philippines have reported the prevalence of ARGs *tet(A)*, *tet(C)*, *tet(M)*, and *tet(L)* in *E. coli* and *P. aeruginosa* isolates, highlighting the similarities of our results with national surveillance efforts [4, 65].

3.5 Disinfectant resistance genes (*qacEΔ1* and *qacL*) were identified for the first time in Philippine HWW samples.

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first report of *qacEΔ1* and *qacL* genes in HWW from the Philippines. Both genes confer resistance to QACs, which are commonly used as disinfectants and cleaning agents[37]. The increased use of QAC-containing products during the COVID-19 pandemic has resulted in greater release of these compounds into the environment [66, 67]. The extensive use of QAC-based disinfectants in hospitals during the pandemic may have contributed to the high abundance of *qacEΔ1* and *qacL* resistance genes in our samples.

The presence of these genes in hospital wastewater has also been documented in China, Israel, Australia, and South Africa [40, 41, 8, 6, 68]. The detection of disinfectant resistance genes in our samples underscores the need for further investigation in the Philippines to evaluate the potential risks of disinfectant resistance in both clinical and environmental contexts.

3.6 Phyla Pseudomonadota, Actinomycetota, and Bacteroidota dominated the HWW microbial community.

We assessed the bacterial taxonomic composition of the samples. Figures 5 and 6 show the relative abundances at the phylum and genus levels, respectively. Figure 7 shows a Sankey diagram illustrating the distribution of taxa in samples A1, B1, and C1.

At the phylum level, Pseudomonadota (synonym Proteobacteria), Actinomycetota (synonym Actinobacteria), and Bacteroidota (synonym Bacteroidetes) were the most abundant in all our sam-




Figure 5: Relative abundance of the top phyla from Hospital A (A), Hospital B (B), and Hospital C (C). Phyla not part of the top were grouped as “Others.”




Figure 6: Relative abundance of the top genera from Hospital A (A), Hospital B (B), and Hospital C (C). Genera not part of the top were grouped as “Others.”

1 ples as shown in Figure 5 and 7. These were also reported to be most abundant in HWW samples
2 from other LMICs such as Burkina Faso, Benin, and India [7, 14], highlighting similarities in phylum-
3 level bacterial composition to our HWW samples. Members of Actinomycetota and Bacteroidota are
4 known to be the major constituents of the human gut microbiome and are present in wastewaters
5 [69, 12]. Members of Pseudomonadota are known human pathogens harboring various resistance
6 genes against aminoglycosides, sulfonamides, β -lactams, and tetracyclines [70, 71]. Under the phy-
7 lum Pseudomonadota, some ESKAPEE pathogens were detected at relatively lower abundances in
8 our samples. These pathogens are responsible for a wide range of human infections and include
9 strains that exhibit resistance to most antibiotics, prompting their classification as “high-priority
10 pathogens” by the WHO [72, 73, 74, 75, 76].

11 At the genus level, *Rhodococcus*, *Stenotrophomonas*, and *Pseudomonas* were consistently among
12 the most abundant across most samples (Figure 6 and Figure 7). *Rhodococcus* is typically found
13 in soil, water, and animal hosts with a relatively low prevalence in hospital environments. Interest-
14 ingly, in our study this genus was detected at high abundance in HWW samples. Previous reports
15 of human infections caused by several *Rhodococcus* species indicate their increasing clinical rele-
16 vance and potential to harbor ARGs within hospital settings [77, 78, 79]. Similarly, a study in
17 China has detected the presence of *Rhodococcus* in HWWs [9], while other studies have identified
18 them from patient samples [80, 81]. *Stenotrophomonas* have been previously described as oppor-
19 tunistic pathogens commonly infecting humans, with known multidrug-resistant species such as




Figure 7: Sankey diagram (generated using the R Pavian package) illustrating the bacterial taxonomic distribution of samples A1, B1, and C1.

1 *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia* [82, 83, 84, 85]. This genus has been documented in various hospital
2 plumbing systems, including sinks, showers, and toilets, which likely contributes to their presence
3 in HWWs [86]. Similarly, reports in India, Singapore and the UK have also identified the genus in
4 HWWs [14, 12, 87]. *Pseudomonas* are clinically significant opportunistic pathogens capable of caus-
5 ing a wide range of human infections in hospital settings. They are frequently reported to exhibit
6 resistance to most antibiotics, especially against β -lactams [73, 72].

7 Interestingly, *Mycolicibacter* and *Pseudoxanthomonas* were also detected in our samples (Figure
8 7). Although both genera are generally considered non-pathogenic to humans, previous studies
9 have reported specific species with the potential to cause human infections and cause severe disease
10 outcomes [88, 89, 90].

11 Other highly abundant genera included *Brevundimonas*, *Microbacterium*, *Mycolicibacterium*, *No-*
12 *cardioides*, *Rhodanobacter*, and *Thermomonas* (Figure 6 and Figure 7). These genera have been
13 previously described as components of HWW bacterial communities that shape the HWW en-
14 vironment and contribute to the removal of organic and inorganic compounds from wastewaters
15 [14, 7, 87, 91, 92], which may partially explain their detection at high relative abundances in this
16 study.

17 3.7 Diverse MGEs were detected across all HWW samples.

18 We identified signatures of a broad diversity of MGEs of IS and transposons, integrons, and plas-
19 mids. Among the most abundant IS and transposons (Figure 8), we observed the presence of
20 IS1071, ISPxasp1, IS1247, ISVsa3, ISAbal1, and ISShma11. Several studies have reported these IS
21 elements to carry ARGs conferring resistance to β -lactam, tetracyclines, aminoglycosides, sulfon-
22 amides, macrolides, and various disinfecting agents, and associated with genera such as *Klebsiella*,
23 *Pseudomonas*, *Stenotrophomonas*, *Rhodococcus*, and *Mycobacterium*, among others [93, 94, 95, 96].

24 Class 1 integrons (intI1) were the most abundant type of integrons detected in our samples. Prior
25 research have shown that these integrons were frequently associated with Gram-negative bacteria
26 in clinical settings and commonly harbor ARGs conferring resistance to β -lactam, aminoglycosides,
27 sulfonamides, and various disinfectants [97].




Figure 8: The relative abundance of the top IS from Hospital A (A), Hospital B (B), and Hospital C (C). IS not part of the top were grouped as “Others.”




Figure 9: The relative abundance of the top plasmid replicons from Hospital A (A), Hospital B (B), and Hospital C (C). Plasmid replicons not part of the top were grouped as “Others.”

1 We have also identified a diversity of plasmid types that carry ARGs including IncQ2 (NCBI
2 accession FJ696404), IncP6 (NCBI accession JF785550), repUS43 (NCBI accession CP003584),
3 Col440II (NCBI accession CP023921), Col(pHAD28) (NCBI accession KU674895) and ColRNAI
4 (NCBI accession DQ298019) among others (Figure 9). Previous studies have reported that these
5 plasmids can carry multiple ARGs conferring resistance to β -lactam, tetracyclines, carbapenems,
6 macrolides, and various disinfectants, among others [97, 98, 99]

7 3.8 Our data supplement the findings of the Philippine Antibiotic Resis- 8 tance Surveillance Program

9 The Philippine Department of Health (DOH) established the Antimicrobial Resistance Surveillance
10 Program (ARSP) in 1988, to monitor the prevalence and trends of antimicrobial resistance in selected
11 bacterial pathogens. The ARSP reports phenotypic resistance rates of 11 species (*S. pneumoniae*,
12 *H. influenzae*, *S. enterica*, *Shigella*, *V. cholerae*, *N. gonorrhoeae*, *S. aureus*, *Enterococcus*, *E. coli*, *K.*
13 *pneumoniae*, *P. aeruginosa*, and *A. baumannii*) against commonly used antibiotics [4].

14 ARBs such as *E. coli*, *K. pneumoniae*, *P. aeruginosa*, and *A. baumannii* among others showed
15 resistance rates above 50% against drug classes of aminoglycosides, β -lactams, tetracyclines, and
16 sulfonamides among others [4]. The detection of abundant ARGs in our samples conferring resis-
17 tance to aminoglycosides, β -lactams, tetracyclines, and sulfonamides supplements ARSP reports by

1 providing insights into genotypic resistance mechanisms.

2 The ARSP reports on clinically significant bacterial species that play a role in the spread of
3 AMR in hospital settings. The presence of other genera such as *Rhodococcus*, *Mycobacter*, and
4 *Pseudoxanthomonas* in our samples – isolates of many of which have been previously reported
5 to harbor antimicrobial resistance (see e.g. [80, 88, 90]) – underscores the potential of shotgun
6 metagenomics to identify underexplored bacterial taxa relevant for AMR surveillance.

7 **3.9 Our results suggest potential links between antimicrobial use and** 8 **ARG Profiles**

9 Integrated surveillance studies from other countries have demonstrated associations between an-
10 timicrobial use (AMU) and resistome composition in hospital-associated environments using high-
11 resolution metagenomic approaches [13, 100]. In the Philippines, direct links between AMU and
12 ARG profiles remain poorly defined, as existing studies have largely focused on prescription-based
13 AMU assessments without complementary resistome analyses, limiting insight into how antibiotic
14 consumption shapes environmental ARG patterns [101, 102]. A multi-year assessment of a single
15 private hospital reported a gradual increase in overall AMU, with beta-lactams, macrolides, fluoro-
16 quinolones, and aminoglycosides accounting for the majority of prescribed antibiotics [102]. Simi-
17 larly, hospital-wide evaluation in another tertiary found the most frequently prescribed antibiotics
18 for therapeutic use included penicillin in combination with a beta-lactamase inhibitor, piperacillin,
19 cefuroxime, azithromycin, and ceftriaxone. Collectively, these studies indicate that β -lactams and
20 macrolides were consistently among the most frequently prescribed antibiotic classes in Philippine
21 hospitals. [101].

22 We observed a high abundance of ARGs associated with resistance to β -lactams and macrolides,
23 which is consistent with the dominant antibiotic classes reported in limited Philippine AMU studies.
24 While this suggests a potential relationship between antibiotic usage patterns and ARG profiles,
25 the absence of an integrated AMU–ARG surveillance data in the country hinders robust correlation
26 analyses.

27 **4 Conclusion**

28 Our study investigated the diversity and abundance of ARGs, bacterial taxa, and MGEs in HWW
29 in three hospitals in Metro Manila, Philippines. ARGs conferring resistance to critically important
30 antibiotics including β -lactams, colistin, and tetracyclines were dominant in our samples. Our
31 resistome profiles are broadly similar with those obtained from hospital waste water samples of
32 neighboring countries and other low-and-middle income countries, suggesting there might be shared
33 challenges in AMR management. Additionally, this is the first report of disinfectant resistance genes
34 (*qacEΔ1*, *qacL*) in HWW in the Philippines. Genera such as *Stenotrophomonas*, *Rhodococcus*, and
35 *Pseudomonas* were detected at high relative abundances across all sampling sites. MGEs that were
36 previously reported to harbor clinically important drug classes were also detected.

37 This exploratory study has several limitations. The sample size is insufficient for comprehensive
38 surveillance, and the limited temporal coverage does not allow for the assessment of seasonal variabil-
39 ity. In addition, the average sequencing depth of approximately 8.8 Gbp per sample constrains the
40 detection of low-abundance taxa and rare antimicrobial resistance genes within the highly complex
41 hospital wastewater metagenomes. The short read length (150 bp) further restricts more detailed
42 analyses, including the identification of variants of resistance genes – some of which may not confer
43 resistance – as well as the establishment of associations between ARGs and mobile genetic elements.

1 It should also be noted that this study collects only DNA, which does not necessarily indicate gene
2 expression or phenotypic resistance, and therefore does not directly reflect the associated clinical
3 risks. Finally, the absence of antimicrobial usage data precluded analyses linking antimicrobial con-
4 sumption patterns to observed ARG abundances, which would have provided additional insights to
5 inform antimicrobial resistance surveillance and management strategies in the Philippines.

6 Despite these limitations, this first metagenomic profiling of hospital wastewater in the Philip-
7 pines provides a valuable preliminary evidence base for future antimicrobial resistance and epidemi-
8 ological surveillance efforts, particularly those leveraging wastewater-based approaches.

9 5 Acknowledgments

10 The authors express their gratitude to Dr. Evelina Lagamayo, Ms. Sherill Tesalona, and Mr.
11 Joemarie Malana for their valuable assistance in obtaining ethics clearances for the three hospitals.
12 We also extend our thanks to Mr. Elmer Abanzado, Engr. Sherwin Mina, and Mr. Emerson Vergara
13 for their support in the collection of samples. We also acknowledge the members of the e-ASIA JRP
14 group for their insights and constructive discussions that greatly contributed to this study.

15 6 Funding

16 This research was funded by the Department of Science and Technology Philippine Council for
17 Health Research and Development (DOST - PCHRD) through East Asia Science and Innovation
18 Area Joint Research Program (e-Asia JRP). The sponsors had no role in study design, data collection
19 and analysis, decision to publish or manuscript preparation.

20 7 Availability of data and materials

21 The datasets generated during the current study are available in the DDBJ Sequence Read Archive
22 under the accession number PRJDB37674 [currently on Hold-Until-Published arrangement]. The
23 computational pipeline used for data analysis is available at the following URL: https://github.com/bioinfodlsu/attack_amr_pipeline.
24

25 References

- 26 [1] Antimicrobial Resistance Collaborators. Global burden of bacterial antimicrobial resistance in
27 2019: a systematic analysis. *Lancet*. 2022 Feb;399(10325):629-55.
- 28 [2] Jonas OB, Irwin A, Berthe FCJ, Le Gall FG, Marquez PV. Drug-resistant infections : a threat
29 to our economic future (Vol. 2) : final report (vol 2). HNP/Agriculture Global Antimicrobial
30 Resistance Initiative Washington, D.C. : World Bank Group; 2017. <http://documents.worldbank.org/curated/en/323311493396993758/final-report>.
- 31 [3] World Health Organization. WHO's List of Medically Important Antimicrobials: A
32 Risk Management Tool for Mitigating Antimicrobial Resistance Due to Non-Human Use.
33 Geneva: World Health Organization; 2024. Licence: CC BY-NC-SA 3.0 IGO. Available
34 from: https://cdn.who.int/media/docs/default-source/gcp/who-mia-list-2024-1v.pdf?sfvrsn=3320dd3d_2.
35
36

- 1 [4] Antimicrobial Resistance Surveillance Program (ARSP). Annual Report; 2023. Available from:
2 <https://arsp.com.ph/arsp-2023-annual-report-data-summary>.
- 3 [5] Shuai X, Zhou Z, Zhu L, Achi C, Lin Z, Liu Z, et al. Ranking the risk of antibiotic resistance
4 genes by metagenomic and multifactorial analysis in hospital wastewater systems. *Journal of*
5 *hazardous materials*. 2024 04;468:133790-0.
- 6 [6] Ekwanzala MD, Dewar JB, Momba MNB. Environmental resistome risks of wastewaters
7 and aquatic environments deciphered by shotgun metagenomic assembly. *Ecotoxicology and*
8 *Environmental Safety*. 2020 07;197:110612.
- 9 [7] Markkanen MA, Haukka K, Pärnänen KMM, Doughton VT, Bonkougou IJO, Garba Z, et al.
10 Metagenomic Analysis of the Abundance and Composition of Antibiotic Resistance Genes in
11 Hospital Wastewater in Benin, Burkina Faso, and Finland. *mSphere*. 2023 Feb;8(1):e0053822.
- 12 [8] Petrovich ML, Zilberman A, Kaplan A, Eliraz GR, Wang Y, Langenfeld K, et al. Microbial and
13 Viral Communities and Their Antibiotic Resistance Genes Throughout a Hospital Wastewater
14 Treatment System. *Frontiers in Microbiology*. 2020 02;11.
- 15 [9] Zhu L, Yuan L, Shuai XY, Lin ZJ, Sun YJ, Zhou ZC, et al. Deciphering basic and key traits of
16 antibiotic resistome in influent and effluent of hospital wastewater treatment systems. *Water*
17 *Research*. 2023 03;231:119614.
- 18 [10] Zhang S, Huang J, Zhao Z, Cao Y, Li B. Hospital wastewater as a reservoir for antibiotic
19 resistance genes: A meta-analysis. *Front Public Health*. 2020 Oct;8:574968.
- 20 [11] Kutilova I, Medvecký M, Leekitcharoenphon P, Munk P, Masarikova M, Davidova-Gerzova L,
21 et al. Extended-spectrum β -lactamase-producing *Escherichia coli* and antimicrobial resistance
22 in municipal and hospital wastewaters in Czech Republic: Culture-based and metagenomic
23 approaches. *Environ Res*. 2021;193(110487):110487.
- 24 [12] Ng C, Tay M, Tan B, Le TH, Haller L, Chen H, et al. Characterization of metagenomes in
25 urban aquatic compartments reveals high prevalence of clinically relevant antibiotic resistance
26 genes in wastewaters. *Front Microbiol*. 2017;8:2200.
- 27 [13] Perry MR, Lepper HC, McNally L, Wee BA, Munk P, Warr A, et al. Secrets of the hospital
28 underbelly: Patterns of abundance of antimicrobial resistance genes in hospital wastewater
29 vary by specific antimicrobial and bacterial family. *Front Microbiol*. 2021;12:703560.
- 30 [14] Talat A, Blake KS, Dantas G, Khan AU. Metagenomic insight into microbiome and antibiotic
31 resistance genes of high clinical concern in urban and rural hospital wastewater of northern
32 India origin: A major reservoir of antimicrobial resistance. *Microbiol Spectr*. 2023.
- 33 [15] Andrews S. FastQC: A Quality Control Tool for High Throughput Sequence Data; 2010.
34 Available online at: <http://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/fastqc>.
- 35 [16] Ewels P, Magnusson M, Lundin S, Käller M. MultiQC: summarize analysis results for multiple
36 tools and samples in a single report. *Bioinformatics*. 2016;32(19):3047-8.
- 37 [17] Martin M. Cutadapt removes adapter sequences from high-throughput sequencing reads.
38 *EMBnet J*. 2011 May;17(1):10.

- 1 [18] Langmead B, Salzberg SL. Fast gapped-read alignment with Bowtie 2. Nat Methods.
2 2012;9(4):357-9.
- 3 [19] Alcock BP, Raphenya AR, Lau TTY, Tsang KK, Bouchard M, Edalatmand A, et al. CARD
4 2020: antibiotic resistance surveillance with the comprehensive antibiotic resistance database.
5 Nucleic Acids Res. 2020;48(D1):D517-25.
- 6 [20] Yin X, Chen X, Jiang XT, Yang Y, Li B, Shum MHH, et al. Toward a universal unit for
7 quantification of antibiotic resistance genes in environmental samples. Environ Sci Technol.
8 2023;57(26):9713-21.
- 9 [21] Wood DE, Lu J, Langmead B. Improved metagenomic analysis with Kraken 2. Genome Biol.
10 2019;20(1):257.
- 11 [22] Lu J, Breitwieser FP, Thielen P, Salzberg SL. Bracken: estimating species abundance in
12 metagenomics data. PeerJ Comput Sci. 2017;3(e104):e104.
- 13 [23] Siguier P, Perochon J, Lestrade L, Mahillon J, Chandler M. ISFinder: the reference centre for
14 bacterial insertion sequences. Nucleic Acids Research. 2006 01;34.
- 15 [24] Carattoli A, Zankari E, García-Fernández A, Voldby Larsen M, Lund O, Villa L, et al. In
16 silico detection and typing of plasmids using PlasmidFinder and plasmid multilocus sequence
17 typing. Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy. 2014;58(7):3895-903.
- 18 [25] Moura A, Soares M, Pereira C, Leitão N, Henriques I, Correia A. INTEGRALL: a database and
19 search engine for integrons, integrases and gene cassettes. Bioinformatics. 2009;25(8):1096-8.
- 20 [26] Venkatesan M, Fruci M, Verellen LA, Skarina T, Mesa N, Flick R, et al. Molecular mechanism
21 of plasmid-borne resistance to sulfonamide antibiotics. Nature Communications. 2023 07;14.
- 22 [27] Sultan I, Rahman S, Jan AT, Siddiqui MT, Mondal AH, Haq QMR. Antibiotics, Resistome
23 and Resistance Mechanisms: A Bacterial Perspective. Frontiers in Microbiology. 2018;9:2066.
- 24 [28] Smith CJ, Owen C, Kirby L. Activation of a cryptic streptomycin-resistance gene in the
25 *Bacteroides erm* transposon, *Tn4551*. Molecular Microbiology. 1992 08;6:2287-97.
- 26 [29] Velazquez-Meza ME, Galarde-López M, Cornejo-Juárez P, Bobadilla-del Valle M, Godoy-
27 Lozano E, Aguilar-Vera E, et al. Bacterial Communities and Resistance and Virulence Genes
28 in Hospital and Community Wastewater: Metagenomic Analysis. International Journal of
29 Molecular Sciences. 2025;26(5).
- 30 [30] Pham DN, Li M. Comparative resistomics analysis of multidrug-resistant Chryseobacteria.
31 Environmental Microbiology Reports. 2024;16(3):e13288.
- 32 [31] Raza S, Shin H, Hur HG, Unno T. Higher abundance of core antimicrobial resistant genes in
33 effluent from wastewater treatment plants. Water Research. 2022;208:117882.
- 34 [32] Galarde-López M, Velazquez-Meza ME, Godoy-Lozano EE, Carrillo-Quiroz BA, Cornejo-
35 Juárez P, Sassoé-González A, et al. Presence and Persistence of ESKAPEE Bacteria before
36 and after Hospital Wastewater Treatment. Microorganisms. 2024;12(6):1231.
- 37 [33] Pärnänen KMM, Narciso-da Rocha C, Kneis D, Berendonk TU, Cacace D, Do TTH, et al.
38 Antibiotic resistance in European wastewater treatment plants mirrors the pattern of clinical
39 antibiotic resistance prevalence. Science Advances. 2019;5(3):eaau9124.

- [34] Ero R, Kumar V, Su W, Gao Y. Ribosome protection by ABC-F proteins—Molecular mechanism and potential drug design. *Protein Science*. 2019 03;28:684-93.
- [35] Chen Q, Lu W, Zhou D, Zheng G, Liu H, Qian C, et al. Characterization of Two Macrolide Resistance-Related Genes in Multidrug-Resistant *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* Isolates. *Polish Journal of Microbiology*. 2020;69(3):349-56.
- [36] Blackwell GA, Hall RM. The *tet39* Determinant and the *msrE-mphE* Genes in *Acinetobacter* Plasmids Are Each Part of Discrete Modules Flanked by Inversely Oriented *p dif* (XerC-XerD) Sites. *Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy*. 2017;61(8).
- [37] Arnold WA, Blum A, Branyan J, Bruton TA, Carignan CC, Cortopassi G, et al. Quaternary Ammonium Compounds: A Chemical Class of Emerging Concern. *Environmental Science & Technology*. 2023;57(20).
- [38] Kücken D, Feucht H, Kaulfers P. Association of *qacE* and *qacEΔ1* with multiple resistance to antibiotics and antiseptics in clinical isolates of Gram-negative bacteria. *FEMS Microbiology Letters*. 2000;183(1):95-8.
- [39] Liu X, Gong L, Liu E, Li C, Wang Y, Liang J. Characterization of the Disinfectant Resistance Genes *qacEΔ1* and *cepA* in Carbapenem-Resistant *Klebsiella pneumoniae* Isolates. *American Journal of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene*. 2024 01;110:136-41.
- [40] Wang C, Cai P, Guo Y, Mi Z. Distribution of the antiseptic-resistance genes *qacEΔ1* in 331 clinical isolates of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* in China. *Journal of Hospital Infection*. 2007 05;66:93-5.
- [41] Xie WY, Wang YT, Yuan J, Hong WD, Niu GQ, Zou X, et al. Prevalent and highly mobile antibiotic resistance genes in commercial organic fertilizers. *Environment International*. 2022 04;162:107157.
- [42] Wang N, Luo J, Deng F, Huang Y, Zhou H. Antibiotic Combination Therapy: A Strategy to Overcome Bacterial Resistance to Aminoglycoside Antibiotics. *Frontiers in Pharmacology*. 2022 02;13.
- [43] Wachino JI, Doi Y, Arakawa Y. Aminoglycoside Resistance. *Infectious Disease Clinics of North America*. 2020;34(4):887-902.
- [44] Li XZ, Plésiat P, Nikaido H. The Challenge of Efflux-Mediated Antibiotic Resistance in Gram-Negative Bacteria. *Clinical Microbiology Reviews*. 2015;28(2):337-418.
- [45] Georghiou SB, Magana M, Garfein RS, Catanzaro DG, Catanzaro A, Rodwell TC. Evaluation of Genetic Mutations Associated with Mycobacterium tuberculosis Resistance to Amikacin, Kanamycin and Capreomycin: A Systematic Review. *PLoS ONE*. 2012;7(3):e33275.
- [46] Goltermann L, Andersen KL, Johansen HK, Molin S, La Rosa R. Macrolide therapy in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* infections causes uL4 ribosomal protein mutations leading to high-level resistance. *Clinical Microbiology and Infection*. 2022;28(12):1594-601.
- [47] Nor Amdan NA, Shahruzamri NA, Hashim R, Mohamad Jamil N. Understanding the evolution of macrolides resistance: A mini review. *Journal of Global Antimicrobial Resistance*. 2024;38:368-75.

- 1 [48] Lenz KD, Klosterman KE, Mukundan H, Kubicek-Sutherland JZ. Macrolides: From Toxins
2 to Therapeutics. *Toxins*. 2021;13(5):347.
- 3 [49] Johnston N, Mukhtar T, Wright G. Streptogramin Antibiotics: Mode of Action and Resistance.
4 *Current Drug Targets*. 2002 08;3:335-44.
- 5 [50] Bush K, Bradford PA. β -Lactams and β -Lactamase Inhibitors: An Overview. *Cold Spring*
6 *Harbor Perspectives in Medicine*. 2016;6(8):a025247.
- 7 [51] Farhat N, Khan AU. Evolving trends of New Delhi Metallo-beta-lactamase (NDM) variants:
8 A threat to antimicrobial resistance. *Infection, Genetics and Evolution*. 2020 12;86:104588.
- 9 [52] Naas T, Nordmann P, Vedel G, Poyart C. Plasmid-Mediated Carbapenem-Hydrolyzing β -
10 Lactamase KPC in a *Klebsiella pneumoniae* Isolate from France. *Antimicrobial Agents and*
11 *Chemotherapy*. 2005;49(10):4423-4.
- 12 [53] Chopra I, Roberts M. Tetracycline Antibiotics: Mode of Action, Applications, Molecular Biol-
13 ogy, and Epidemiology of Bacterial Resistance. *Microbiology and Molecular Biology Reviews*.
14 2001;65(2):232-60.
- 15 [54] Ovung A, Bhattacharyya J. Sulfonamide drugs: structure, Antibacterial property, toxicity,
16 and Biophysical Interactions. *Biophysical Reviews*. 2021 03;13:259-72.
- 17 [55] Hendriksen RS, Munk P, Njage P, van Bunnik B, McNally L, Lukjancenko O, et al. Global
18 monitoring of antimicrobial resistance based on metagenomics analyses of urban sewage. *Nat-*
19 *ure Communications*. 2019;10(1):1124.
- 20 [56] Guo X, Tang N, Lei H, Fang Q, Liu L, Zhou Q, et al. Metagenomic Analysis of Antibio-
21 tic Resistance Genes in Untreated Wastewater From Three Different Hospitals. *Frontiers in*
22 *Microbiology*. 2021;Volume 12 - 2021.
- 23 [57] Graells T, Lambraki IA, Cousins M, Léger A, Henriksson PJG, Troell M, et al. Exploring
24 the factors that contribute to the successful implementation of antimicrobial resistance inter-
25 ventions: a comparison of high-income and low-middle-income countries. *Frontiers in Public*
26 *Health*. 2023;Volume 11 - 2023.
- 27 [58] Lewnard JA, Charani E, Gleason A, Hsu LY, Khan WA, Karkey A, et al. Burden of bacterial
28 antimicrobial resistance in low-income and middle-income countries avertible by existing in-
29 terventions: an evidence review and modelling analysis. *The Lancet*. 2024;403(10442):2439-54.
- 30 [59] Davis K, Limato R, Monga M, Egid B, Paul S, Okioma S, et al. Antimicrobial resistance,
31 equity and justice in low- and middle-income countries: an intersectional critical interpretive
32 synthesis. *Nature Communications*. 2025;16(1):9078. Available from: [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-025-64137-z)
33 [1038/s41467-025-64137-z](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-025-64137-z).
- 34 [60] Zhang AN, Gaston JM, Dai CL, Zhao S, Poyet M, Groussin M, et al. An omics-based frame-
35 work for assessing the health risk of antimicrobial resistance genes. *Nature Communications*.
36 2021 08;12:4765.
- 37 [61] Bratu S, Mooty M, Nichani S, Landman D, Gullans C, Pettinato B, et al. Emergence of
38 KPC-Possessing *Klebsiella pneumoniae* in Brooklyn, New York: Epidemiology and Recom-
39 mendations for Detection. *Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy*. 2005;49(7):3018-20.

- 1 [62] Navon-Venezia S, Chmelnitsky I, Leavitt A, Schwaber MJ, Schwartz D, Carmeli Y. Plasmid-Mediated Imipenem-Hydrolyzing Enzyme KPC-2 among Multiple Carbapenem-Resistant *Escherichia coli* Clones in Israel. *Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy*. 2006;50(9):3098-101.
- 2
- 3
- 4 [63] Talat A, Usmani A, Khan AU. Detection of *E. coli* IncX1 Plasmid-Mediated *mcr-5.1* Gene in an Indian Hospital Sewage Water Using Shotgun Metagenomic Sequencing: A First Report. *Microbial Drug Resistance*. 2022 06;28:759-64.
- 5
- 6
- 7 [64] Zhang H, Zong Z, Lei S, Srinivas S, Sun J, Feng Y, et al. A Genomic, Evolutionary, and Mechanistic Study of MCR-5 Action Suggests Functional Unification across the MCR Family of Colistin Resistance. *Advanced Science*. 2019 04;6.
- 8
- 9
- 10 [65] Salvador-Membreve DM, Rivera WL. Predominance of *bla*_{TEM} and *tetA* genes in antibiotic-resistant *Escherichia coli* isolates from Laguna Lake, Philippines. *Journal of Water, Sanitation and Hygiene for Development*. 2021 07;11:814-23.
- 11
- 12
- 13 [66] Zheng G, Webster TF, Salamova A. Quaternary Ammonium Compounds: Bioaccumulation Potentials in Humans and Levels in Blood before and during the Covid-19 Pandemic. *Environmental Science & Technology*. 2021;55(21):14689-98.
- 14
- 15
- 16 [67] Boyce JM. Quaternary ammonium disinfectants and antiseptics: tolerance, resistance and potential impact on antibiotic resistance. *Antimicrobial Resistance & Infection Control*. 2023;12:32.
- 17
- 18
- 19 [68] Sapula SA, Amsalu A, Whittall JJ, Hart BJ, Siderius NL, Nguyen L, et al. The scope of antimicrobial resistance in residential aged care facilities determined through analysis of *Escherichia coli* and the total wastewater resistome. *Microbiology Spectrum*. 2023;11(6):e00731-23.
- 20
- 21
- 22 [69] Anto L, Blesso CN. Interplay Between Diet, the Gut Microbiome, and Atherosclerosis: Role of Dysbiosis and Microbial Metabolites on Inflammation and Disordered Lipid Metabolism. *The Journal of Nutritional Biochemistry*. 2022 03;105:108991.
- 23
- 24
- 25 [70] Rizzatti G, Lopetuso LR, Gibiino G, Binda C, Gasbarrini A. Proteobacteria: a Common Factor in Human Diseases. *BioMed Research International*. 2017 11;2017:1-7.
- 26
- 27 [71] Moon CD, Young W, Maclean PH, Cookson AL, Bermingham EN. Metagenomic insights into the roles of Proteobacteria in the gastrointestinal microbiomes of healthy dogs and cats. *MicrobiologyOpen*. 2018 06;7.
- 28
- 29
- 30 [72] Diggle SP, Whiteley M. Microbe Profile: *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*: Opportunistic Pathogen and Lab Rat. *Microbiology*. 2019 10;166:30-3.
- 31
- 32 [73] Elfadadny A, Ragab RF, AlHarbi M, Badshah F, Ibáñez-Arancibia E, Farag A, et al. Antimicrobial resistance of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*: navigating clinical impacts, current resistance trends, and innovations in breaking therapies. *Front Microbiol*. 2024 04;15.
- 33
- 34
- 35 [74] Oyenuga N, Cobo-Díaz JF, Alvarez-Ordóñez A, Alexa EA. Overview of Antimicrobial Resistant ESKAPEE Pathogens in Food Sources and Their Implications from a One Health Perspective. *Microorganisms*. 2024 10;12:2084.
- 36
- 37
- 38 [75] Miller WR, Arias CA. ESKAPE pathogens: antimicrobial resistance, epidemiology, clinical impact and therapeutics. *Nature Reviews Microbiology*. 2024;22:598-616.
- 39

- 1 [76] World Health Organization. WHO bacterial priority pathogens list, 2024: Bacterial pathogens
2 of public health importance to guide research, development and strategies to prevent and con-
3 trol antimicrobial resistance. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2024. Licence: CC BY-NC-
4 SA 3.0 IGO. Available from: <https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/9789240093461>.
- 5 [77] Baba H, Nada T, Ohkusu K, Ezaki T, Hasegawa Y, Paterson DL. First case of bloodstream in-
6 fection caused by *Rhodococcus erythropolis*. Journal of Clinical Microbiology. 2009;47(8):2667-
7 9.
- 8 [78] Brown E, Hendler E. Rhodococcus Peritonitis in a Patient Treated With Peritoneal Dialysis.
9 American Journal of Kidney Diseases. 1989;14(5):417-8.
- 10 [79] DeMarais PL, Kocka FE. Rhodococcus Meningitis in an Immunocompetent Host. Clinical
11 Infectious Diseases. 1995;20(1):167-9.
- 12 [80] Ranganath N, Mendoza MA, Stevens R, Kind D, Wengenack N, Shah A. *Rhodococcus* infection:
13 a 10-year retrospective analysis of clinical experience and antimicrobial susceptibility profile.
14 Journal of Clinical Microbiology. 2024 03;62.
- 15 [81] Torres-Tortosa M, Arrizabalaga J, Villanueva JL, Gálvez J, Leyes M, Valencia ME, et al.
16 Prognosis and clinical evaluation of infection caused by *Rhodococcus equi* in HIV-infected
17 patients: a multicenter study of 67 cases. Chest. 2003;123(6):1970-6.
- 18 [82] Brooke JS. *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia*: an Emerging Global Opportunistic Pathogen.
19 Clinical Microbiology Reviews. 2012;25(1):2-41.
- 20 [83] Brooke JS. Advances in the Microbiology of *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia*. Clinical Microbi-
21 ology Reviews. 2021 05;34.
- 22 [84] Deng Y, Han XF, Jiang ZM, Yu LY, Li Y, Zhang YQ. Characterization of
23 three *Stenotrophomonas* strains isolated from different ecosystems and proposal of
24 *Stenotrophomonas mori* sp. nov. and *Stenotrophomonas lacuserhaii* sp. nov. Frontiers in
25 Microbiology. 2022 12;13.
- 26 [85] Ghosh R, Chatterjee S, Mandal NC. *Stenotrophomonas*. Elsevier eBooks. 2020 01:427-42.
- 27 [86] Wu J, Thompson TP, O'Connell NH, McCracken K, Powell J, Gilmore BF, et al. Extended-
28 spectrum β -lactamase-producing bacteria from hospital wastewater pipes: isolation, char-
29 acterization and biofilm control using common disinfectants. Journal of Hospital Infection.
30 2025;156:34-49.
- 31 [87] Cruz-Cruz C, Gaytán-Cervantes J, González-Torres C, Nolasco-Rojas AE, Loyola-Cruz M
32 Delgado-Balbuena L, et al. Profiling of Bacterial Communities of Hospital Wastewater Reveals
33 Clinically Relevant Genera and Antimicrobial Resistance Genes. Microorganisms. 2025;13(6).
- 34 [88] Bernard KA, Vachon A, Pacheco AL, Burdz T, Wiebe D, Beniac DR, et al. *Pseudoxanthomonas*
35 *winnipegensis* sp. nov., derived from human clinical materials and recovered from cystic fibrosis
36 and other patient types in Canada, and emendation of *Pseudoxanthomonas spadix* Young et al.
37 2007. International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology. 2020;70(12):6313-22.
- 38 [89] Kuo SF, Lee CH. An oil refinery worker at Kaohsiung, with *Pseudoxanthomonas kaohsiungen-*
39 *sis* bloodstream infection presenting as chronic pericarditis and masquerading as tuberculosis
40 pericarditis. Journal of Microbiology, Immunology and Infection. 2018;51(4):575-7.

- 1 [90] Manika K, Kontos F, Papavasileiou A, Papaventsis D, Sionidou M, Kioumis I. Severe Pul-
2 monary Disease Caused by *Mycolicibacter kumamotonensis*. Emerging Infectious Diseases.
3 2021;27(3):962-4.
- 4 [91] Ma Y, Wang J, Liu Y, Wang X, Zhang B, Zhang W, et al. Nocardioidea: “Specialists” for
5 Hard-to-Degrade Pollutants in the Environment. Molecules. 2023;28(21):7433.
- 6 [92] Green SJ, Prakash O, Jasrotia P, Overholt WA, Cardenas E, Hubbard D, et al. Denitrify-
7 ing Bacteria from the Genus *Rhodanobacter* Dominate Bacterial Communities in the Highly
8 Contaminated Subsurface of a Nuclear Legacy Waste Site. Applied and Environmental Mi-
9 crobiology. 2012;78(4):1039-47.
- 10 [93] Qian J, Wu Z, Ma L, Zhu Y, Zhang Y, Li M, et al. Metagenomic insights into correla-
11 tion of microbiota and antibiotic resistance genes in the worker-pig-soil interface: A One
12 Health surveillance on Chongming Island, China. Journal of Hazardous Materials Advances.
13 2025;18:100648.
- 14 [94] Lewis GL, Fenton RJ, Moriyama EN, Loy JD, Moxley RA. Association of ISVsa3 with Mul-
15 tidrug Resistance in *Salmonella enterica* Isolates from Cattle (*Bos taurus*). Microorganisms.
16 2023;11(3):631.
- 17 [95] Krauland M, Harrison L, Paterson D, et al. Novel Integron Gene Cassette Arrays Identified
18 in a Global Collection of Multi-Drug Resistant Non-Typhoidal *Salmonella enterica*. Current
19 Microbiology. 2010;60(3):217-23.
- 20 [96] Fayad N, Kallassy Awad M, Mahillon J. IS982 and kin: new insights into an old IS family.
21 Mobile DNA. 2020;11:24.
- 22 [97] Partridge SR, Kwong SM, Firth N, Jensen SO. Mobile Genetic Elements Associated with
23 Antimicrobial Resistance. Clinical Microbiology Reviews. 2018;31(4).
- 24 [98] Sugita K, Aoki K, Komori K, Nagasawa T, Ishii Y, Iwata S, et al. Molecular Analysis of
25 *bla*_{KPC-2}-Harboring Plasmids: Tn4401a Interplasmid Transposition and Tn4401a-Carrying
26 ColRNAI Plasmid Mobilization from *Klebsiella pneumoniae* to *Citrobacter europaeus* and
27 *Morganella morganii* in a Single Patient. mSphere. 2021;6(6).
- 28 [99] Miller EA, Elnekave E, Flores-Figueroa C, Johnson A, Kearney A, Munoz-Aguayo J, et al.
29 Emergence of a Novel *Salmonella enterica* Serotype Reading Clonal Group Is Linked to Its
30 Expansion in Commercial Turkey Production, Resulting in Unanticipated Human Illness in
31 North America. mSphere. 2020;5(2).
- 32 [100] Wang L, Chen H, Zhang Y, Tian Y, Hu X, Wu J, et al. Global antibiotic consumption and re-
33 gional antimicrobial resistance, 2010–21: an analysis of pharmaceutical sales and antimicrobial
34 resistance surveillance data. The Lancet Global Health. 2025;13(11).
- 35 [101] de Guzman Betito G, Pauwels I, Versporten A, Goossens H, De Los Reyes MR, Gler MT.
36 Implementation of a multidisciplinary antimicrobial stewardship programme in a Philippine
37 tertiary care hospital: an evaluation by repeated point prevalence surveys. Journal of Global
38 Antimicrobial Resistance. 2021;26:157-65.
- 39 [102] Abeleda MA, Peña I, Salenga R, Capule F, Nacabu-An SM, Nala P. Antimicrobial Consump-
40 tion and Resistance of Restricted Antibiotics in a Level III Government Hospital. Acta Medica
41 Philippina. 2024;58(16):68-76.