

Cannabinoids rescue migraine symptoms caused by central CGRP administration in mice. (Supplementary materials)

Erik Zorrilla ^{1,2*}, Thomas L. Duong ², Cassandra L. Piña ², Andrew F. Russo ^{1,2,3,4*}

Table 1. Longitudinal representation of light aversion

Table 1								
Figure 1B (Light aversion)			Male & Female					
			n = 105 (2 excl.)					
Analysis			Statistics					
Two-way RM ANOVA								
			P values	Degrees of freedom	F values			
Row Factor x Column Factor			<i>*p</i> = 0.0106	DFn: 10, DFd: 500	<i>f</i> = 2.338			
Row Factor			**** <i>p</i> < 0.0001	DFn: 3.87, DFd: 387	<i>f</i> = 15.36			
Column Factor			**** <i>p</i> < 0.0001	DFn: 2, DFd: 100	<i>f</i> = 12.18			
Subject			**** <i>p</i> < 0.0001	DFn: 100, DFd: 500	<i>f</i> = 13.65			
Tukey's multiple comparisons test								
			5 min	10 min	15 min	20 min	25 min	30 min
PBS + Veh	vs.	CGRP + Veh	** <i>p</i> = 0.0012	**** <i>p</i> < 0.0001	**** <i>p</i> < 0.0001	*** <i>p</i> = 0.0008	** <i>p</i> = 0.0014	** <i>p</i> = 0.004
PBS + Veh	vs.	CGRP + CBD:THC 100:1	<i>ns p</i> = 0.9068	<i>ns p</i> = 0.7676	<i>ns p</i> = 0.7665	<i>ns p</i> = 0.4383	<i>ns p</i> = 0.5783	<i>ns p</i> = 0.9212
CGRP + Veh	vs.	CGRP + CBD:THC 100:1	**** <i>p</i> < 0.0001	*** <i>p</i> = 0.0003	*** <i>p</i> = 0.0009	* <i>p</i> = 0.0468	* <i>p</i> = 0.046	* <i>p</i> = 0.0448
Figure 1B (Light aversion)			Male					
			n = 52					
Analysis			Statistics					
Two-way RM ANOVA								
			P values	Degrees of freedom	F values			
Row Factor x Column Factor			<i>ns p</i> = 0.0749	DFn: 10, DFd: 245	<i>f</i> = 1.729			
Row Factor			**** <i>p</i> < 0.0001	DFn: 3.6, DFd: 177	<i>f</i> = 7.872			
Column Factor			* <i>p</i> = 0.0127	DFn: 2, DFd: 49	<i>f</i> = 4.776			
Subject			**** <i>p</i> < 0.0001	DFn: 49, DFd: 245	<i>f</i> = 12.80			
Tukey's multiple comparisons test								
			5 min	10 min	15 min	20 min	25 min	30 min
PBS + Veh	vs.	CGRP + Veh	<i>ns p</i> = 0.0660	** <i>p</i> = 0.0052	** <i>p</i> = 0.0021	<i>ns p</i> = 0.1374	* <i>p</i> = 0.0436	<i>ns p</i> = 0.1855
PBS + Veh	vs.	CGRP + CBD:THC 100:1	<i>ns p</i> = 0.6484	<i>ns p</i> = 0.4604	<i>ns p</i> = 0.2491	<i>ns p</i> = 0.1077	<i>ns p</i> = 0.1864	<i>ns p</i> = 0.4254
CGRP + Veh	vs.	CGRP + CBD:THC 100:1	<i>ns p</i> = 0.1341	<i>ns p</i> = 0.1065	<i>ns p</i> = 0.2472	<i>ns p</i> = 0.9924	<i>ns p</i> = 0.7486	<i>ns p</i> = 0.8448
Figure 1B (Light aversion)			Female					
			n = 53 (2 excl.)					
Analysis			Statistics					
Two-way RM ANOVA								
			P values	Degrees of freedom	F values			
Row Factor x Column Factor			<i>ns p</i> = 0.4601	DFn: 10, DFd: 240	<i>f</i> = 0.9816			
Row Factor			**** <i>p</i> < 0.0001	DFn: 3.7, DFd: 179	<i>f</i> = 7.500			
Column Factor			**** <i>p</i> = 0.0001	DFn: 2, DFd: 48	<i>f</i> = 10.83			
Subject			**** <i>p</i> < 0.0001	DFn: 48, DFd: 240	<i>f</i> = 13.88			
Tukey's multiple comparisons test								
			5 min	10 min	15 min	20 min	25 min	30 min
PBS + Veh	vs.	CGRP + Veh	* <i>p</i> = 0.0297	*** <i>p</i> = 0.0006	** <i>p</i> = 0.0017	** <i>p</i> = 0.0034	* <i>p</i> = 0.0444	* <i>p</i> = 0.0112
PBS + Veh	vs.	CGRP + CBD:THC 100:1	<i>ns p</i> = 0.3141	<i>ns p</i> = 0.9122	<i>ns p</i> = 0.6767	<i>ns p</i> = 0.9016	<i>ns p</i> = 0.9298	<i>ns p</i> = 0.7421
CGRP + Veh	vs.	CGRP + CBD:THC 100:1	*** <i>p</i> = 0.0008	** <i>p</i> = 0.0041	** <i>p</i> = 0.0041	* <i>p</i> = 0.0154	* <i>p</i> = 0.0437	* <i>p</i> = 0.047

Table 2. Average light aversion, and percent resting in dark and light zone of individual mice

Table 2					
<u>Figure 1C,1D, 1E (Light aversion)</u>			<u>1C) Male & Female</u>	<u>1D) Male</u>	<u>1E) Female</u>
			n = 105 (2 excl.)	n = 52	n = 53 (2 excl.)
<u>Analysis</u>			<u>Statistics</u>		
One-way ANOVA					
P values			<i>****p < 0.0001</i>	<i>*p = 0.0131</i>	<i>***p = 0.0001</i>
Degrees of freedom			<i>DFn: 2, DFd: 100</i>	<i>DFn: 2, DFd: 49</i>	<i>DFn: 2, DFd: 48</i>
F values			<i>f = 12.3</i>	<i>f = 4.741</i>	<i>f = 10.84</i>
Tukey's multiple comparisons test					
PBS + Veh	vs.	CGRP + Veh	<i>****p < 0.0001</i>	<i>*p = 0.0103</i>	<i>**p = 0.0047</i>
PBS + Veh	vs.	CGRP + CBD:THC 100:1	<i>ns p = 0.6531</i>	<i>ns p = 0.1532</i>	<i>ns p = 0.6204</i>
CGRP + Veh	vs.	CGRP + CBD:THC 100:1	<i>**p = 0.001</i>	<i>ns p = 0.4516</i>	<i>***p = 0.0002</i>

<u>Figure 2C, 2E, 2G (% Resting in dark)</u>					
			<u>2C) Male & Female</u>	<u>2E) Male</u>	<u>2G) Female</u>
			n = 105 (2 excl.)	n = 52	n = 53 (2 excl.)
<u>Analysis</u>			<u>Statistics</u>		
One-way ANOVA					
P values			<i>****p < 0.0001</i>	<i>*p = 0.0149</i>	<i>****p < 0.0001</i>
Degrees of freedom			<i>DFn: 2, DFd: 100</i>	<i>DFn: 2, DFd: 49</i>	<i>DFn: 2, DFd: 48</i>
F values			<i>f = 15.84</i>	<i>f = 4.591</i>	<i>f = 13.48</i>
Tukey's multiple comparisons test					
PBS + Veh	vs.	CGRP + Veh	<i>****p < 0.0001</i>	<i>*p = 0.0112</i>	<i>****p < 0.0001</i>
PBS + Veh	vs.	CGRP + CBD:THC 100:1	<i>ns p = 0.2102</i>	<i>ns p = 0.2022</i>	<i>ns p = 0.8126</i>
CGRP + Veh	vs.	CGRP + CBD:THC 100:1	<i>***p = 0.0009</i>	<i>ns p = 0.3858</i>	<i>***p = 0.0006</i>

<u>Figure 2D, 2F, 2H (% Resting in light)</u>					
			<u>2D) Male & Female</u>	<u>2F) Male</u>	<u>2H) Female</u>
			n = 105 (2 excl.)	n = 52	n = 53 (2 excl.)
<u>Analysis</u>			<u>Statistics</u>		
One-way ANOVA					
P values			<i>*p = 0.0293</i>	<i>ns p = 0.0812</i>	<i>ns p = 0.0686</i>
Degrees of freedom			<i>DFn: 2, DFd: 100</i>	<i>DFn: 2, DFd: 49</i>	<i>DFn: 2, DFd: 48</i>
F values			<i>f = 3.658</i>	<i>f = 2.645</i>	<i>f = 2.835</i>
Tukey's multiple comparisons test					
PBS + Veh	vs.	CGRP + Veh	<i>*p = 0.0303</i>	<i>ns p = 0.0861</i>	<i>ns p = 0.4384</i>
PBS + Veh	vs.	CGRP + CBD:THC 100:1	<i>ns p = 0.8067</i>	<i>ns p = 0.2104</i>	<i>ns p = 0.5520</i>
CGRP + Veh	vs.	CGRP + CBD:THC 100:1	<i>ns p = 0.134</i>	<i>ns p = 0.8667</i>	<i>ns p = 0.0565</i>

Table 3. Longitudinal representation of percent resting in dark zone

Table 3						
Figure 2A (% Resting in dark)			Male & Female			
			n = 105 (2 excl.)			
Analysis			Statistics			
Mixed-effects model (REML)			P values	Degrees of freedom	F values	
Row Factor			**** $p < 0.0001$	DFn: 3.76, DFd: 363	$f = 187.4$	
Column Factor			**** $p < 0.0001$	DFn: 2, DFd: 100	$f = 15.46$	
Row Factor x Column Factor			** $p = 0.0053$	DFn: 10, DFd: 483	$f = 2.544$	
Tukey's multiple comparisons test			5 min	10 min	15 min	20 min
PBS + Veh	vs.	CGRP + Veh	*** $p = 0.0002$	*** $p < 0.0001$	**** $p < 0.0001$	**** $p < 0.0001$
PBS + Veh	vs.	CGRP + CBD:THC 100:1	$ns\ p = 0.995$	$ns\ p = 0.1767$	$ns\ p = 0.3409$	$ns\ p = 0.2011$
CGRP + Veh	vs.	CGRP + CBD:THC 100:1	*** $p = 0.0006$	* $p = 0.0178$	** $p = 0.0033$	* $p = 0.0062$
						25 min
PBS + Veh	vs.	CGRP + Veh				*** $p < 0.0001$
PBS + Veh	vs.	CGRP + CBD:THC 100:1				* $p = 0.0256$
CGRP + Veh	vs.	CGRP + CBD:THC 100:1				$ns\ p = 0.2319$
						30 min
PBS + Veh	vs.	CGRP + Veh				** $p = 0.0038$
PBS + Veh	vs.	CGRP + CBD:THC 100:1				$ns\ p = 0.307$
CGRP + Veh	vs.	CGRP + CBD:THC 100:1				$ns\ p = 0.2319$

Figure 2A (% Resting in dark)			Male			
			n = 52			
Analysis			Statistics			
Mixed-effects model (REML)			P values	Degrees of freedom	F values	
Row Factor			**** $p < 0.0001$	DFn: 3.38, DFd: 157	$f = 94.70$	
Column Factor			* $p = 0.0293$	DFn: 2, DFd: 49	$f = 3.798$	
Row Factor x Column Factor			* $p = 0.0408$	DFn: 10, DFd: 232	$f = 1.941$	
Tukey's multiple comparisons test			5 min	10 min	15 min	20 min
PBS + Veh	vs.	CGRP + Veh	$ns\ p = 0.1306$	* $p = 0.0209$	* $p = 0.0114$	$ns\ p = 0.0546$
PBS + Veh	vs.	CGRP + CBD:THC 100:1	$ns\ p = 0.983$	$ns\ p = 0.1911$	$ns\ p = 0.5462$	$ns\ p = 0.4075$
CGRP + Veh	vs.	CGRP + CBD:THC 100:1	$ns\ p = 0.1577$	$ns\ p = 0.4789$	$ns\ p = 0.2423$	$ns\ p = 0.4557$
						25 min
PBS + Veh	vs.	CGRP + Veh				* $p = 0.0397$
PBS + Veh	vs.	CGRP + CBD:THC 100:1				$ns\ p = 0.097$
CGRP + Veh	vs.	CGRP + CBD:THC 100:1				$ns\ p = 0.8993$
						30 min
PBS + Veh	vs.	CGRP + Veh				$ns\ p = 0.6042$
PBS + Veh	vs.	CGRP + CBD:THC 100:1				$ns\ p = 0.2283$
CGRP + Veh	vs.	CGRP + CBD:THC 100:1				$ns\ p = 0.9404$

Figure 2A (% Resting in dark)			Female			
			n = 53 (2 excl.)			
Analysis			Statistics			
Mixed-effects model (REML)			P values	Degrees of freedom	F values	
Row Factor			**** $p < 0.0001$	DFn: 3.4, DFd: 162	$f = 88.71$	
Column Factor			**** $p < 0.0001$	DFn: 2, DFd: 48	$f = 14.38$	
Row Factor x Column Factor			$ns\ p = 0.0758$	DFn: 10, DFd: 236	$f = 1.726$	
Tukey's multiple comparisons test			5 min	10 min	15 min	20 min
PBS + Veh	vs.	CGRP + Veh	** $p = 0.0012$	*** $p = 0.0003$	*** $p = 0.0004$	**** $p < 0.0001$
PBS + Veh	vs.	CGRP + CBD:THC 100:1	$ns\ p = 0.9998$	$ns\ p = 0.7025$	$ns\ p = 0.6574$	$ns\ p = 0.5367$
CGRP + Veh	vs.	CGRP + CBD:THC 100:1	** $p = 0.0075$	* $p = 0.0228$	** $p = 0.0068$	* $p = 0.0114$
						25 min
PBS + Veh	vs.	CGRP + Veh				*** $p < 0.0001$
PBS + Veh	vs.	CGRP + CBD:THC 100:1				$ns\ p = 0.2506$
CGRP + Veh	vs.	CGRP + CBD:THC 100:1				* $p = 0.0213$
						30 min
PBS + Veh	vs.	CGRP + Veh				** $p = 0.0019$
PBS + Veh	vs.	CGRP + CBD:THC 100:1				$ns\ p = 0.9002$
CGRP + Veh	vs.	CGRP + CBD:THC 100:1				* $p = 0.0197$

Table 4. Longitudinal representation of percent resting in light zone

Table 4						
Figure 2B (% Resting in light)			Male & Female			
			n = 105 (2 excl.)			
Analysis			Statistics			
Mixed-effects model (REML)			P values	Degrees of freedom	F values	
Row Factor			*** <i>p</i> < 0.0001	DFn: 3.9, DFd: 208	<i>f</i> = 19.95	
Column Factor			* <i>p</i> = 0.0143	DFn: 2, DFd: 100	<i>f</i> = 4.437	
Row Factor x Column Factor			* <i>p</i> = 0.0336	DFn: 10, DFd: 266	<i>f</i> = 2.000	
Tukey's multiple comparisons test			5 min	10 min	15 min	20 min
PBS + Veh	vs.	CGRP + Veh	<i>ns p</i> = 0.6033	<i>ns p</i> = 0.5577	<i>ns p</i> = 0.1507	<i>ns p</i> = 0.5078
PBS + Veh	vs.	CGRP + CBD:THC 100:1	<i>ns p</i> = 0.8633	<i>ns p</i> = 0.5839	<i>ns p</i> = 0.9269	<i>ns p</i> = 0.6792
CGRP + Veh	vs.	CGRP + CBD:THC 100:1	<i>ns p</i> = 0.8606	<i>ns p</i> = 0.9509	<i>ns p</i> = 0.1248	<i>ns p</i> = 0.2747
			25 min	30 min		
PBS + Veh	vs.	CGRP + Veh	<i>ns p</i> = 0.5394	<i>ns p</i> = 0.3967		
PBS + Veh	vs.	CGRP + CBD:THC 100:1	<i>ns p</i> = 0.3219	<i>ns p</i> = 0.2353		
CGRP + Veh	vs.	CGRP + CBD:THC 100:1	<i>ns p</i> = 0.0767	<i>ns p</i> = 0.0881		
Figure 2B (% Resting in light)			Male			
			n = 52			
Analysis			Statistics			
Mixed-effects model (REML)			P values	Degrees of freedom	F values	
Row Factor			*** <i>p</i> < 0.0001	DFn: 2.8, DFd: 73.5	<i>f</i> = 13.46	
Column Factor			<i>ns p</i> = 0.0786	DFn: 2, DFd: 49	<i>f</i> = 2.681	
Row Factor x Column Factor			* <i>p</i> = 0.012	DFn: 10, DFd: 132	<i>f</i> = 2.397	
Tukey's multiple comparisons test			5 min	10 min	15 min	20 min
PBS + Veh	vs.	CGRP + Veh	<i>ns p</i> = 0.4573	<i>ns p</i> = 0.6302	<i>ns p</i> > 0.9999	<i>ns p</i> = 0.2451
PBS + Veh	vs.	CGRP + CBD:THC 100:1	<i>ns p</i> = 0.3444	<i>ns p</i> = 0.3155	<i>ns p</i> = 0.998	<i>ns p</i> = 0.7461
CGRP + Veh	vs.	CGRP + CBD:THC 100:1	<i>ns p</i> = 0.9653	<i>ns p</i> = 0.7302	<i>ns p</i> = 0.9991	<i>ns p</i> = 0.4318
			25 min	30 min		
PBS + Veh	vs.	CGRP + Veh	* <i>p</i> = 0.0164	<i>ns p</i> = 0.1952		
PBS + Veh	vs.	CGRP + CBD:THC 100:1	<i>ns p</i> = 0.5109	<i>ns p</i> = 0.2431		
CGRP + Veh	vs.	CGRP + CBD:THC 100:1	** <i>p</i> = 0.0046	<i>ns p</i> = 0.0656		
Figure 2B (% Resting in light)			Female			
			n = 53 (2 excl.)			
Analysis			Statistics			
Mixed-effects model (REML)			P values	Degrees of freedom	F values	
Row Factor			*** <i>p</i> < 0.0001	DFn: 2.6, DFd: 65.7	<i>f</i> = 11.67	
Column Factor			<i>ns p</i> = 0.0646	DFn: 2, DFd: 48	<i>f</i> = 2.902	
Row Factor x Column Factor			<i>ns p</i> = 0.0669	DFn: 10, DFd: 119	<i>f</i> = 1.804	
Tukey's multiple comparisons test			5 min	10 min	15 min	20 min
PBS + Veh	vs.	CGRP + Veh	<i>ns p</i> = 0.9762	<i>ns p</i> = 0.9977	<i>ns p</i> = 0.1354	<i>ns p</i> = 0.96
PBS + Veh	vs.	CGRP + CBD:THC 100:1	<i>ns p</i> = 0.6918	<i>ns p</i> = 0.2488	<i>ns p</i> = 0.7384	<i>ns p</i> = 0.3682
CGRP + Veh	vs.	CGRP + CBD:THC 100:1	<i>ns p</i> = 0.6173	<i>ns p</i> = 0.4039	<i>ns p</i> = 0.0794	<i>ns p</i> = 0.5207
			25 min	30 min		
PBS + Veh	vs.	CGRP + Veh	<i>ns p</i> = 0.7405	<i>ns p</i> = 0.9795		
PBS + Veh	vs.	CGRP + CBD:THC 100:1	<i>ns p</i> = 0.5279	<i>ns p</i> = 0.697		
CGRP + Veh	vs.	CGRP + CBD:THC 100:1	<i>ns p</i> = 0.8599	<i>ns p</i> = 0.8367		

Table 5. Longitudinal representation of percent rearing in dark zone

Table 5															
Figure 3A (Rearing in dark)				Male & Female											
				n = 105 (2 excl.)											
Analysis				Statistics											
Mixed-effects model (REML)				P values		Degrees of freedom		F values							
Row Factor				*** <i>p</i> < 0.0001		DFn: 2.9, DFd: 292		<i>f</i> = 30.38							
Column Factor				* <i>p</i> = 0.0298		DFn: 2, DFd: 100		<i>f</i> = 3.641							
Row Factor x Column Factor				<i>ns p</i> = 0.095		DFn: 10, DFd: 491		<i>f</i> = 1.630							
Tukey's multiple comparisons test															
				5 min		10 min		15 min		20 min		25 min		30 min	
PBS + Veh vs. CGRP + Veh				<i>ns p</i> = 0.4922		* <i>p</i> = 0.034		* <i>p</i> = 0.0323		* <i>p</i> = 0.0219		<i>ns p</i> = 0.0817		<i>ns p</i> = 0.1464	
PBS + Veh vs. CGRP + CBD:THC 100:1				<i>ns p</i> > 0.9999		<i>ns p</i> = 0.0984		<i>ns p</i> = 0.1041		<i>ns p</i> = 0.1547		<i>ns p</i> = 0.9203		<i>ns p</i> = 0.1054	
CGRP + Veh vs. CGRP + CBD:THC 100:1				<i>ns p</i> = 0.5985		<i>ns p</i> = 0.6542		<i>ns p</i> = 0.6671		<i>ns p</i> = 0.4711		<i>ns p</i> = 0.1866		<i>ns p</i> = 0.9978	
Figure 3A (Rearing in dark)				Male											
				n = 52											
Analysis				Statistics											
Mixed-effects model (REML)				P values		Degrees of freedom		F values							
Row Factor				*** <i>p</i> < 0.0001		DFn: 2.9, DFd: 140		<i>f</i> = 20.43							
Column Factor				<i>ns p</i> = 0.6045		DFn: 2, DFd: 49		<i>f</i> = 0.5086							
Row Factor x Column Factor				<i>ns p</i> = 0.054		DFn: 10, DFd: 240		<i>f</i> = 1.844							
Tukey's multiple comparisons test															
				5 min		10 min		15 min		20 min		25 min		30 min	
PBS + Veh vs. CGRP + Veh				<i>ns p</i> = 0.7792		<i>ns p</i> = 0.7583		<i>ns p</i> = 0.5312		<i>ns p</i> = 0.7143		<i>ns p</i> = 0.9131		<i>ns p</i> = 0.9977	
PBS + Veh vs. CGRP + CBD:THC 100:1				<i>ns p</i> = 0.9899		<i>ns p</i> = 0.1886		<i>ns p</i> = 0.1897		<i>ns p</i> = 0.6015		<i>ns p</i> = 0.7156		<i>ns p</i> = 0.0645	
CGRP + Veh vs. CGRP + CBD:THC 100:1				<i>ns p</i> = 0.8933		<i>ns p</i> = 0.8019		<i>ns p</i> = 0.8832		<i>ns p</i> = 0.9997		<i>ns p</i> = 0.9754		<i>ns p</i> = 0.3461	
Figure 3A (Rearing in dark)				Female											
				n = 53 (2 excl.)											
Analysis				Statistics											
Mixed-effects model (REML)				P values		Degrees of freedom		F values							
Row Factor				*** <i>p</i> < 0.0001		DFn: 2.88, DFd: 136		<i>f</i> = 13.01							
Column Factor				** <i>p</i> = 0.0049		DFn: 2, DFd: 48		<i>f</i> = 5.959							
Row Factor x Column Factor				<i>ns p</i> = 0.4633		DFn: 10, DFd: 236		<i>f</i> = 0.9779							
Tukey's multiple comparisons test															
				5 min		10 min		15 min		20 min		25 min		30 min	
PBS + Veh vs. CGRP + Veh				* <i>p</i> = 0.0455		* <i>p</i> = 0.0261		* <i>p</i> = 0.0496		* <i>p</i> = 0.0283		* <i>p</i> = 0.0204		<i>ns p</i> = 0.0665	
PBS + Veh vs. CGRP + CBD:THC 100:1				<i>ns p</i> = 0.9836		<i>ns p</i> = 0.5115		<i>ns p</i> = 0.5662		<i>ns p</i> = 0.2379		<i>ns p</i> = 0.5633		<i>ns p</i> = 0.6236	
CGRP + Veh vs. CGRP + CBD:THC 100:1				<i>ns p</i> = 0.0699		* <i>p</i> = 0.0365		<i>ns p</i> = 0.1427		<i>ns p</i> = 0.182		<i>ns p</i> = 0.0788		<i>ns p</i> = 0.224	

Table 6. Longitudinal representation of percent rearing in light zone

Table 6											
Figure 3B (Rearing in light)				Male & Female							
				n = 105 (2 excl.)							
Analysis				Statistics							
Mixed-effects model (REML)				P values		Degrees of freedom		F values			
Row Factor				*** $p < 0.0001$		$DFn: 3.41, DFd: 170$		$f = 7.487$			
Column Factor				$ns\ p = 0.4947$		$DFn: 2, DFd: 100$		$f = 0.7088$			
Row Factor x Column Factor				$ns\ p = 0.4873$		$DFn: 10, DFd: 249$		$f = 0.9508$			
Tukey's multiple comparisons test				5 min		10 min		15 min		20 min	
PBS + Veh vs. CGRP + Veh				$ns\ p = 0.8255$		$*p = 0.0384$		$ns\ p = 0.1963$		$ns\ p = 0.9917$	
PBS + Veh vs. CGRP + CBD:THC 100:1				$ns\ p = 0.9734$		$ns\ p = 0.4347$		$ns\ p = 0.5809$		$ns\ p = 0.721$	
CGRP + Veh vs. CGRP + CBD:THC 100:1				$ns\ p = 0.9251$		$ns\ p = 0.2876$		$ns\ p = 0.6579$		$ns\ p = 0.8937$	
								25 min		30 min	
PBS + Veh vs. CGRP + Veh				$ns\ p = 0.8255$		$*p = 0.0384$		$ns\ p = 0.1963$		$ns\ p = 0.2376$	
PBS + Veh vs. CGRP + CBD:THC 100:1				$ns\ p = 0.9734$		$ns\ p = 0.4347$		$ns\ p = 0.5809$		$ns\ p = 0.065$	
CGRP + Veh vs. CGRP + CBD:THC 100:1				$ns\ p = 0.9251$		$ns\ p = 0.2876$		$ns\ p = 0.6579$		$ns\ p = 0.9992$	

Figure 3B (Rearing in light)				Male							
				n = 52							
Analysis				Statistics							
Mixed-effects model (REML)				P values		Degrees of freedom		F values			
Row Factor				$**p = 0.0017$		$DFn: 3, DFd: 75$		$f = 5.534$			
Column Factor				$ns\ p = 0.9813$		$DFn: 2, DFd: 49$		$f = 0.01885$			
Row Factor x Column Factor				$ns\ p = 0.1264$		$DFn: 10, DFd: 123$		$f = 1.560$			
Tukey's multiple comparisons test				5 min		10 min		15 min		20 min	
PBS + Veh vs. CGRP + Veh				$ns\ p = 0.5551$		$ns\ p = 0.6916$		$ns\ p = 0.9449$		$ns\ p = 0.6356$	
PBS + Veh vs. CGRP + CBD:THC 100:1				$ns\ p = 0.8925$		$ns\ p = 0.6751$		$ns\ p = 0.9308$		$ns\ p = 0.44$	
CGRP + Veh vs. CGRP + CBD:THC 100:1				$ns\ p = 0.8276$		$ns\ p = 0.966$		$ns\ p = 0.8811$		$ns\ p = 0.9927$	
								25 min		30 min	
PBS + Veh vs. CGRP + Veh				$ns\ p = 0.5551$		$ns\ p = 0.6916$		$ns\ p = 0.9449$		$ns\ p = 0.8585$	
PBS + Veh vs. CGRP + CBD:THC 100:1				$ns\ p = 0.8925$		$ns\ p = 0.6751$		$ns\ p = 0.9308$		$ns\ p = 0.7111$	
CGRP + Veh vs. CGRP + CBD:THC 100:1				$ns\ p = 0.8276$		$ns\ p = 0.966$		$ns\ p = 0.8811$		$ns\ p = 0.9849$	

Figure 3B (Rearing in light)				Female							
				n = 53 (2 excl.)							
Analysis				Statistics							
Mixed-effects model (REML)				P values		Degrees of freedom		F values			
Row Factor				$*p = 0.0336$		$DFn: 2.6, DFd: 58.5$		$f = 3.244$			
Column Factor				$ns\ p = 0.1561$		$DFn: 2, DFd: 48$		$f = 1.931$			
Row Factor x Column Factor				$ns\ p = 0.6748$		$DFn: 10, DFd: 111$		$f = 0.7513$			
Tukey's multiple comparisons test				5 min		10 min		15 min		20 min	
PBS + Veh vs. CGRP + Veh				$ns\ p = 0.1911$		$ns\ p = 0.1698$		$ns\ p = 0.1691$		$ns\ p = 0.28$	
PBS + Veh vs. CGRP + CBD:THC 100:1				$ns\ p = 0.6648$		$ns\ p = 0.6702$		$ns\ p = 0.1786$		$ns\ p = 0.6246$	
CGRP + Veh vs. CGRP + CBD:THC 100:1				$ns\ p = 0.3618$		$ns\ p = 0.0998$		$ns\ p = 0.9281$		$ns\ p = 0.6149$	
								25 min		30 min	
PBS + Veh vs. CGRP + Veh				$ns\ p = 0.1911$		$ns\ p = 0.1698$		$ns\ p = 0.1691$		$ns\ p = 0.4114$	
PBS + Veh vs. CGRP + CBD:THC 100:1				$ns\ p = 0.6648$		$ns\ p = 0.6702$		$ns\ p = 0.1786$		$ns\ p = 0.618$	
CGRP + Veh vs. CGRP + CBD:THC 100:1				$ns\ p = 0.3618$		$ns\ p = 0.0998$		$ns\ p = 0.9281$		$ns\ p = 0.7761$	

Table 7. Average transitions, rearing in dark and light zone and time spent in center of individual mice

Table 7				
Figure 3C, 3E, 3G (Rearing in dark)		3C) Male & Female	3E) Male	3G) Female
		n = 105 (2 excl.)	n = 52	n = 53 (2 excl.)
Analysis		Statistics		
One-way ANOVA				
P values		*p = 0.0245	ns p = 0.5307	**p = 0.0047
Degrees of freedom		DFn: 2, DFd: 100	DFn: 2, DFd: 49	DFn: 2, DFd: 48
F values		f = 3.849	f = 0.6418	f = 6.002
Tukey's multiple comparisons test				
PBS + Veh	vs. CGRP + Veh	*p = 0.0185	ns p = 0.8429	**p = 0.0040
PBS + Veh	vs. CGRP + CBD:THC 100:1	ns p = 0.2424	ns p = 0.4987	ns p = 0.4605
CGRP + Veh	vs. CGRP + CBD:THC 100:1	ns p = 0.5162	ns p = 0.8514	ns p = 0.1027

Figure 3D, 3F, 3H (Rearing in light)		3D) Male & Female	3F) Male	3H) Female
		n = 105 (2 excl.)	n = 52	n = 53 (2 excl.)
Analysis		Statistics		
One-way ANOVA				
P values		ns p = 0.7820	ns p = 0.7673	ns p = 0.1419
Degrees of freedom		DFn: 2, DFd: 100	DFn: 2, DFd: 49	DFn: 2, DFd: 48
F values		f = 0.2466	f = 0.2663	f = 2.035
Tukey's multiple comparisons test				
PBS + Veh	vs. CGRP + Veh	ns p = 0.7952	ns p = 0.7662	ns p = 0.1506
PBS + Veh	vs. CGRP + CBD:THC 100:1	ns p = 0.9950	ns p = 0.9878	ns p = 0.8961
CGRP + Veh	vs. CGRP + CBD:THC 100:1	ns p = 0.8478	ns p = 0.8459	ns p = 0.3417

Figure 4B, 4C, 4D (Transitions)		4B) Male & Female	4C) Male	4D) Female
		n = 105 (2 excl.)	n = 52	n = 53 (2 excl.)
Analysis		Statistics		
One-way ANOVA				
P values		**p = 0.0029	ns p = 0.4876	***p = 0.0007
Degrees of freedom		DFn: 2, DFd: 100	DFn: 2, DFd: 49	DFn: 2, DFd: 48
F values		f = 6.181	f = 0.7289	f = 8.427
Tukey's multiple comparisons test				
PBS + Veh	vs. CGRP + Veh	**p = 0.0031	ns p = 0.5201	**p = 0.0019
PBS + Veh	vs. CGRP + CBD:THC 100:1	ns p = 0.6603	ns p = 0.5977	ns p = 0.9273
CGRP + Veh	vs. CGRP + CBD:THC 100:1	*p = 0.0395	ns p = 0.987	**p = 0.0060

Figure 5B (Open field)		Male & Female	Male	Female
		n = 37	n = 20	n = 17
Analysis		Statistics		
P values		ns p = 0.1599	ns p = 0.0756	ns p = 0.6214
Degrees of freedom		DFn: 18, DFd: 17	DFn: 10, DFd: 8	DFn: 7, DFd: 8
F values		f = 2.000	f = 3.712	f = 1.434
T values		t = 1.269	t = 0.7331	t = 0.1351
Unpaired t-test				
PBS + Veh	vs. CGRP + Veh	ns p = 0.2127	ns p = 0.4729	ns p = 0.1967

Table 8. Longitudinal representation of transition behavior

Table 8

Figure 4A (Transitions)

Male & Female

n = 105 (2 excl.)

Analysis

Statistics

Two-way RM ANOVA

	P values	Degrees of freedom	F values
Row Factor x Column Factor	**p = 0.0058	DFn: 10, DFd: 500	f = 2.521
Row Factor	***p < 0.0001	DFn: 2.5, DFd: 255	f = 61.48
Column Factor	**p = 0.0029	DFn: 2, DFd: 100	f = 6.181
Subject	***p < 0.0001	DFn: 100, DFd: 500	f = 6.612

Tukey's multiple comparisons test

	5 min	10 min	15 min	20 min	25 min	30 min
PBS + Veh vs. CGRP + Veh	ns p = 0.9873	**p = 0.0019	***p = 0.0004	**p = 0.0048	**p = 0.0019	**p = 0.0073
PBS + Veh vs. CGRP + CBD:THC 100:1	ns p = 0.3053	ns p = 0.8645	ns p = 0.5162	ns p = 0.1561	ns p = 0.8037	ns p = 0.3367
CGRP + Veh vs. CGRP + CBD:THC 100:1	ns p = 0.6194	ns p = 0.1004	*p = 0.0135	ns p = 0.0784	*p = 0.0305	ns p = 0.1046

Figure 4A (Transitions)

Male

n = 52

Analysis

Statistics

Two-way RM ANOVA

	P values	Degrees of freedom	F values
Row Factor x Column Factor	ns p = 0.3105	DFn: 10, DFd: 245	f = 1.172
Row Factor	***p < 0.0001	DFn: 1.7, DFd: 83.2	f = 28.79
Column Factor	ns p = 0.4876	DFn: 2, DFd: 49	f = 0.7289
Subject	***p < 0.0001	DFn: 49, DFd: 245	f = 4.827

Tukey's multiple comparisons test

	5 min	10 min	15 min	20 min	25 min	30 min
PBS + Veh vs. CGRP + Veh	ns p = 0.8206	ns p = 0.168	ns p = 0.0985	ns p = 0.6853	ns p = 0.417	ns p = 0.7936
PBS + Veh vs. CGRP + CBD:THC 100:1	ns p = 0.723	ns p = 0.4358	ns p = 0.1907	ns p = 0.5659	ns p = 0.8499	ns p = 0.4216
CGRP + Veh vs. CGRP + CBD:THC 100:1	ns p = 0.9708	ns p = 0.7862	ns p = 0.8673	ns p = 0.9923	ns p = 0.8145	ns p = 0.8976

Figure 4A (Transitions)

Female

n = 53 (2 excl.)

Analysis

Statistics

Two-way RM ANOVA

	P values	Degrees of freedom	F values
Row Factor x Column Factor	ns p = 0.0819	DFn: 10, DFd: 240	f = 1.698
Row Factor	***p < 0.0001	DFn: 2.7, DFd: 129	f = 31.83
Column Factor	***p = 0.0007	DFn: 2, DFd: 48	f = 8.427
Subject	***p < 0.0001	DFn: 48, DFd: 240	f = 7.131

Tukey's multiple comparisons test

	5 min	10 min	15 min	20 min	25 min	30 min
PBS + Veh vs. CGRP + Veh	ns p = 0.7671	*p = 0.0159	*p = 0.012	**p = 0.0075	**p = 0.0097	*p = 0.0126
PBS + Veh vs. CGRP + CBD:THC 100:1	ns p = 0.3708	ns p = 0.9999	ns p = 0.9878	ns p = 0.2548	ns p = 0.9195	ns p = 0.607
CGRP + Veh vs. CGRP + CBD:THC 100:1	ns p = 0.1209	ns p = 0.1313	*p = 0.0142	*p = 0.0136	*p = 0.0285	**p = 0.0098

Table 9. Average mean pixel area over 5 min for individual mice in the automated squint assay

Table 9			
Figure 6B (Squint)		Male & Female	
		n = 63 (1 excl.)	
Analysis		Statistics	
Two-way RM ANOVA		P values	Degrees of freedom F values
Row Factor		<i>*p</i> = 0.0279	<i>DFn</i> : 24 , <i>DFd</i> : 94 <i>f</i> = 1.729
Column Factor		<i>**p</i> = 0.0032	<i>DFn</i> : 5 , <i>DFd</i> : 94 <i>f</i> = 3.857
Tukey's multiple comparisons test			
PBS + Veh (BL)	vs. PBS + Veh (Tx)	<i>ns p</i> > 0.9999	
CGRP + Veh (BL)	vs. CGRP + Veh (Tx)	<i>*p</i> = 0.0208	
CGRP + CBD:THC 100:1 (BL)	vs. CGRP + CBD:THC 100:1 (Tx)	<i>ns p</i> = 0.9908	
PBS + Veh (BL)	vs. CGRP + Veh (BL)	<i>ns</i> = 0.9934	
PBS + Veh (BL)	vs. CGRP + CBD:THC 100:1 (BL)	<i>ns</i> = 0.9981	
CGRP + Veh (BL)	vs. CGRP + CBD:THC 100:1 (BL)	<i>ns p</i> > 0.9999	
PBS + Veh (Tx)	vs. CGRP + Veh (Tx)	<i>**p</i> = 0.0063	
PBS + Veh (Tx)	vs. CGRP + CBD:THC 100:1 (Tx)	<i>ns p</i> = 0.889	
CGRP + Veh (Tx)	vs. CGRP + CBD:THC 100:1 (Tx)	<i>ns p</i> = 0.1275	
Figure 6B (Squint)		Male	
		n = 33	
Analysis		Statistics	
Two-way RM ANOVA		P values	Degrees of freedom F values
Row Factor		<i>ns p</i> = 0.0808	<i>DFn</i> : 12 , <i>DFd</i> : 50 <i>f</i> = 1.765
Column Factor		<i>*p</i> = 0.0183	<i>DFn</i> : 5 , <i>DFd</i> : 50 <i>f</i> = 3.029
Tukey's multiple comparisons test			
PBS + Veh (BL)	vs. PBS + Veh (Tx)	<i>ns</i> = 0.9999	
CGRP + Veh (BL)	vs. CGRP + Veh (Tx)	<i>ns</i> = 0.107	
CGRP + CBD:THC 100:1 (BL)	vs. CGRP + CBD:THC 100:1 (Tx)	<i>ns p</i> = 0.9809	
PBS + Veh (BL)	vs. CGRP + Veh (BL)	<i>ns</i> = 0.993	
PBS + Veh (BL)	vs. CGRP + CBD:THC 100:1 (BL)	<i>ns</i> = 0.961	
CGRP + Veh (BL)	vs. CGRP + CBD:THC 100:1 (BL)	<i>ns p</i> = 0.9996	
PBS + Veh (Tx)	vs. CGRP + Veh (Tx)	<i>*p</i> = 0.0206	
PBS + Veh (Tx)	vs. CGRP + CBD:THC 100:1 (Tx)	<i>ns</i> = 0.9991	
CGRP + Veh (Tx)	vs. CGRP + CBD:THC 100:1 (Tx)	<i>ns</i> = 0.0694	
Figure 6B (Squint)		Female	
		n = 30 (1 excl.)	
Analysis		Statistics	
Two-way RM ANOVA		P values	Degrees of freedom F values
Row Factor		<i>ns p</i> = 0.0755	<i>DFn</i> : 11 , <i>DFd</i> : 39 <i>f</i> = 1.865
Column Factor		<i>ns p</i> = 0.2299	<i>DFn</i> : 5 , <i>DFd</i> : 39 <i>f</i> = 1.445

Tukey's multiple comparisons test

PBS + Veh (BL)	vs.	PBS + Veh (Tx)	<i>ns p > 0.9999</i>
CGRP + Veh (BL)	vs.	CGRP + Veh (Tx)	<i>ns p = 0.4492</i>
CGRP + CBD:THC 100:1 (BL)	vs.	CGRP + CBD:THC 100:1 (Tx)	<i>ns p = 0.6533</i>
PBS + Veh (BL)	vs.	CGRP + Veh (BL)	<i>ns p > 0.9999</i>
PBS + Veh (BL)	vs.	CGRP + CBD:THC 100:1 (BL)	<i>ns p > 0.9999</i>
CGRP + Veh (BL)	vs.	CGRP + CBD:THC 100:1 (BL)	<i>ns p = 0.9992</i>
PBS + Veh (Tx)	vs.	CGRP + Veh (Tx)	<i>ns p = 0.5839</i>
PBS + Veh (Tx)	vs.	CGRP + CBD:THC 100:1 (Tx)	<i>ns p = 0.9071</i>
CGRP + Veh (Tx)	vs.	CGRP + CBD:THC 100:1 (Tx)	<i>ns p = 0.8829</i>